

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period ended June 30, 2011

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For transition period from            to

Commission File Number 0-51331

**BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION**

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Maryland  
(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation)

75-3199276  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

15W060 North Frontage Road, Burr Ridge, Illinois  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

60527  
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (800) 894-6900

Not Applicable  
(Former name or former address, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No .

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the Issuer's classes of common stock as of the latest practicable date. 21,072,966 shares of Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, were issued and outstanding as of August 2, 2011.

# BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION

Form 10-Q Quarterly Report

## Table of Contents

### PART I

	<u>Page Number</u>
Item 1. <a href="#">Financial Statements</a>	1
Item 2. <a href="#">Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</a>	37
Item 3. <a href="#">Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</a>	61
Item 4. <a href="#">Controls and Procedures</a>	63

### PART II

Item 1. <a href="#">Legal Proceedings</a>	64
Item 1A. <a href="#">Risk Factors</a>	64
Item 2. <a href="#">Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</a>	64
Item 3. <a href="#">Defaults Upon Senior Securities</a>	64
Item 4. <a href="#">[Removed and Reserved]</a>	64
Item 5. <a href="#">Other Information</a>	64
Item 6. <a href="#">Exhibits</a>	64
<a href="#">Signatures</a>	65

PART I

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION  
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

	June 30, 2011 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2010
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Cash and due from other financial institutions	\$ 14,049	\$ 18,097
Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions	100,433	202,713
Cash and cash equivalents	114,482	220,810
Securities, at fair value	95,546	120,747
Loans held-for-sale	-	2,716
Loans receivable, net of allowance for loan losses: June 30, 2011, \$22,963 and December 31, 2010, \$22,180	1,291,399	1,050,766
Other real estate owned and other real estate owned in process	27,032	14,622
Stock in Federal Home Loan Bank, at cost	16,346	15,598
Premises and equipment, net	38,745	32,495
Accrued interest receivable	5,929	5,390
Goodwill	22,566	22,566
Core deposit intangible	4,508	2,700
Bank owned life insurance	20,901	20,581
FDIC prepaid expense	5,003	4,845
Income tax receivable	1,862	1,749
Deferred taxes, net	13,232	9,333
Other assets	5,337	5,737
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 1,662,888</b>	<b>\$ 1,530,655</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
<b>Liabilities:</b>		
Deposits	1,378,331	1,235,377
Borrowings	12,595	23,749
Advance payments by borrowers taxes and insurance	10,284	7,325
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	11,300	10,919
Total liabilities	1,412,510	1,277,370
Commitments and contingent liabilities		
<b>Stockholders' equity:</b>		
Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value, 25,000,000 shares authorized, none issued or outstanding	-	-
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized; 21,072,966 shares issued at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010	211	211
Additional paid-in capital	194,144	194,186
Retained earnings	68,577	71,278
Unearned Employee Stock Ownership Plan shares	(13,705)	(14,190)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	1,151	1,800
<b>Total stockholders' equity</b>	<b>250,378</b>	<b>253,285</b>
<b>Total liabilities and stockholders' equity</b>	<b>\$ 1,662,888</b>	<b>\$ 1,530,655</b>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
(In thousands, except share and per share data) - (Unaudited)

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>Interest and dividend income</b>				
Loans, including fees	\$ 18,155	\$ 15,419	\$ 32,565	\$ 31,476
Securities	768	910	1,590	1,918
Other	77	122	193	202
Total interest income	<u>19,000</u>	<u>16,451</u>	<u>34,348</u>	<u>33,596</u>
<b>Interest expense</b>				
Deposits	1,849	3,434	3,749	7,063
Borrowings	61	243	157	543
Total interest expense	<u>1,910</u>	<u>3,677</u>	<u>3,906</u>	<u>7,606</u>
<b>Net interest income</b>	17,090	12,774	30,442	25,990
Provision for loan losses	<u>3,175</u>	<u>2,665</u>	<u>5,599</u>	<u>3,516</u>
<b>Net interest income after provision for loan losses</b>	13,915	10,109	24,843	22,474
<b>Noninterest income</b>				
Deposit service charges and fees	691	792	1,303	1,565
Other fee income	413	500	795	934
Insurance commissions and annuities income	155	179	324	314
Gain on sale of loans, net	39	68	58	115
Gain on sale of securities, net	-	31	-	31
Loss on disposition of premises and equipment, net	(10)	(17)	(20)	(17)
Loan servicing fees	137	154	269	324
Amortization and impairment of servicing assets	(51)	(78)	(105)	(321)
Earnings on bank owned life insurance	162	92	320	171
Trust income	216	12	292	24
Other	127	79	214	127
Total noninterest income	<u>1,879</u>	<u>1,812</u>	<u>3,450</u>	<u>3,267</u>
<b>Noninterest expense</b>				
Compensation and benefits	7,120	6,552	13,720	13,763
Office occupancy and equipment	1,736	1,609	3,604	3,410
Advertising and public relations	260	303	497	519
Information technology	1,091	961	2,039	1,882
Supplies, telephone, and postage	439	406	814	767
Amortization of intangibles	470	399	852	804
Nonperforming asset management	1,279	355	1,734	622
Loss (gain) on sale of other real estate owned	(57)	107	(109)	107
Operations of other real estate owned	912	393	1,417	527
FDIC insurance premiums	186	532	753	1,087
Acquisition expenses	240	-	1,771	-
Other	947	753	1,786	1,560
Total noninterest expense	<u>14,623</u>	<u>12,370</u>	<u>28,878</u>	<u>25,048</u>
<b>Income (loss) before income taxes</b>	1,171	(449)	(585)	693
Income tax expense (benefit)	<u>145</u>	<u>(161)</u>	<u>(834)</u>	<u>265</u>
<b>Net Income (loss)</b>	<u>\$ 1,026</u>	<u>\$ (288)</u>	<u>\$ 249</u>	<u>\$ 428</u>
Basic income (loss) per common share	<u>\$ 0.05</u>	<u>\$ (0.01)</u>	<u>\$ 0.01</u>	<u>\$ 0.02</u>
Diluted income (loss) per common share	<u>\$ 0.05</u>	<u>\$ (0.01)</u>	<u>\$ 0.01</u>	<u>\$ 0.02</u>
Weighted average common shares outstanding	19,713,952	19,737,315	19,701,904	19,778,294
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	19,715,480	19,737,315	19,703,600	19,791,890

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND**  
**COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)**

(In thousands, except share and per share data) - (Unaudited)

	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Unearned Employee Stock Ownership Plan Shares	Accumulated Other Comprehen- sive Income (Loss)	Total	Compre- hensive Income (Loss)
Balance at January 1, 2010	\$ 214	\$ 195,177	\$ 81,531	\$ (15,169)	\$ 1,850	\$ 263,603	
Comprehensive income:							
Net income	-	-	428	-	-	428	\$ 428
Change in other comprehensive income, net of tax effects	-	-	-	-	14	14	14
Total comprehensive income							<u>\$ 442</u>
Purchase and retirement of common stock (356,411 shares)	(3)	(3,121)	-	-	-	(3,124)	
Nonvested stock awards-Stock-based compensation expense	-	1,071	-	-	-	1,071	
Cash dividends declared on common stock (\$0.14 per share)	-	-	(2,998)	-	-	(2,998)	
ESOP shares earned	-	(82)	-	485	-	403	
Balance at June 30, 2010	<u>\$ 211</u>	<u>\$ 193,045</u>	<u>\$ 78,961</u>	<u>\$ (14,684)</u>	<u>\$ 1,864</u>	<u>\$ 259,397</u>	
Balance at January 1, 2011	\$ 211	\$ 194,186	\$ 71,278	\$ (14,190)	\$ 1,800	\$ 253,285	
Comprehensive income:							
Net income	-	-	249	-	-	249	\$ 249
Change in other comprehensive income, net of tax effects	-	-	-	-	(649)	(649)	(649)
Total comprehensive loss							<u>\$ (400)</u>
Nonvested stock awards-Stock-based compensation expense	-	32	-	-	-	32	
Cash dividends declared on common stock (\$0.14 per share)	-	-	(2,950)	-	-	(2,950)	
ESOP shares earned	-	(74)	-	485	-	411	
Balance at June 30, 2011	<u>\$ 211</u>	<u>\$ 194,144</u>	<u>\$ 68,577</u>	<u>\$ (13,705)</u>	<u>\$ 1,151</u>	<u>\$ 250,378</u>	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOW**  
Six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010  
(In thousands) - (Unaudited)

	2011	2010
<b>Cash flows from operating activities</b>		
Net income	\$ 249	\$ 428
Adjustments to reconcile to net income to net cash from operating activities		
Provision for loan losses	5,599	3,516
ESOP shares earned	411	403
Stock-based compensation expense	32	1,071
Depreciation and amortization	2,216	2,237
Amortization of premiums and discounts on securities and loans	(1,123)	(1)
Amortization of core deposit intangible	852	800
Amortization and impairment of servicing assets	105	321
Net change in net deferred loan origination costs	340	181
Net loss (gain) on sale of other real estate owned	(109)	108
Net gain on sale of loans	(58)	(115)
Net gain on sale of securities	-	(31)
Net loss disposition of premises and equipment	20	17
Loans originated for sale	(4,880)	(5,854)
Proceeds from sale of loans	7,654	5,757
Net change in:		
Deferred income tax	(839)	(183)
Accrued interest receivable	(184)	263
Earnings on bank owned life insurance	(320)	(171)
Other assets	1,421	(581)
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	(462)	(1,406)
Net cash from operating activities	10,924	6,760
<b>Cash flows from investing activities</b>		
Securities		
Proceeds from maturities	11,802	31
Proceeds from principal repayments	22,616	680
Proceeds from sales of securities	9,677	-
Purchases of securities	(9,786)	16,785
Loans receivable		
Principal payments on loans receivable	339,813	356,273
Purchases of loans	(151,354)	(798)
Originated for investment	(322,517)	(267,744)
Proceeds of redemption of Federal Reserve Bank stock	155	-
Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned	2,300	1,496
Purchases of premises and equipment, net	(413)	(563)
Cash acquired in acquisition	61,619	-
Net cash from (used) investing activities	(36,088)	106,160

(Continued)

**BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOW**

Six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

(In thousands) - (Unaudited)

	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
<b>Cash flows from financing activities</b>		
Net change in deposits	(69,985)	19,864
Net change in borrowings	(11,154)	(15,218)
Net change in advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance	2,925	(358)
Repurchase and retirement of common stock	-	(3,124)
Cash dividends paid on common stock	(2,950)	(2,998)
Net cash from financing activities	<u>(81,164)</u>	<u>(1,834)</u>
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(106,328)	111,086
Beginning cash and cash equivalents	<u>220,810</u>	<u>108,198</u>
<b>Ending cash and cash equivalents</b>	<u>\$ 114,482</u>	<u>\$ 219,284</u>
<b>Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:</b>		
Interest paid	\$ 3,758	\$ 7,848
Income taxes paid	3	300
Income taxes refunded	-	2,529
Loans transferred to real estate owned	7,869	5,218
<b>Supplemental disclosures of noncash investing activities - Acquisition:</b>		
<b>Noncash assets acquired:</b>		
Securities	\$ 10,177	\$ -
Loans receivable	119,239	-
Other real estate owned	7,210	-
Stock in Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank	903	-
Premises and equipment, net	7,401	-
Accrued interest receivable	355	-
Core deposit intangible	2,660	-
FDIC prepaid expense	774	-
Income tax receivable	774	-
Deferred taxes, net	2,662	-
Other assets	42	-
Total noncash items acquired	<u>152,197</u>	<u>-</u>
<b>Liabilities assumed:</b>		
Deposits	212,939	-
Advance payments by borrowers taxes and insurance	34	-
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	843	-
Total liabilities assumed	<u>213,816</u>	<u>-</u>
Cash and cash equivalents acquired	<u>\$ 61,619</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

**Note 1 – Condensed Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

**Basis of Presentation:** BankFinancial Corporation, a Maryland corporation headquartered in Burr Ridge, Illinois (the “Company”), is the owner of all of the issued and outstanding capital stock of BankFinancial, F.S.B. (the “Bank”). As used in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the words “Company,” “we” and “our” are intended to refer to the Company, the Bank, and the Bank’s subsidiaries, with respect to information presented for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and other periods referenced herein.

**Principles of Consolidation:** The interim unaudited consolidated financial statements reflect all normal and recurring adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, considered necessary for a fair presentation of the financial condition and results of operations for the periods presented. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. The results of operations for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011, are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2011.

Certain information and note disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”) have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

**Nature of Business:** The Company’s revenues, operating income, and assets are primarily from the banking industry. All of the Company’s banking operations are considered by management to be aggregated in one reportable operating segment for financial reporting purposes. A significant majority of our loan customers are located in the greater Chicago metropolitan area; commercial lessees are located throughout the United States. The loan portfolio is concentrated in loans that are primarily secured by diversified types of real estate collateral.

**Use of Estimates:** To prepare financial statements in conformity with GAAP, management makes estimates and assumptions based on available information. These estimates and assumptions affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and the disclosures provided, and future results could differ. The allowance for loan losses, mortgage servicing rights, deferred tax assets, goodwill, other intangible assets, stock-based compensation, impairment of securities and fair value of financial instruments are particularly subject to change.

**Interest-bearing Deposits in Other Financial Institutions:** Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions maturing in less than 90 days are carried at cost.

**Cash Flows:** Cash and cash equivalents include cash, deposits with other financial institutions maturing in less than 90 days, and daily federal funds sold. Net cash flows are reported for customer loan and deposit transactions, interest bearing deposits in other financial institutions, borrowings, and advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance.

**Securities:** Debt securities are classified as available-for-sale when they might be sold before maturity. Equity securities with readily determinable fair values are classified as available-for-sale. Securities classified as available-for-sale are carried at fair value, with unrealized holding gains and losses reported in other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax. Interest income includes amortization of purchase premium or discount. Premiums and discounts on securities are amortized on the level-yield method without anticipating prepayments, except for mortgage-backed securities where prepayments are anticipated. Gains and losses on sales are based on the amortized cost of the security sold. Declines in the fair value of securities below their cost that are other-than-temporary are reflected as realized losses. In determining if losses are other-than-temporary, management considers: (1) the length of time and extent that fair value has been less than cost or adjusted cost, as applicable, (2) the financial condition and near term prospects of the issuer, and (3) whether the Company has the intent to sell the debt security or it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the debt security before the anticipated recovery.



**BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

**Note 1 – Condensed Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)**

**Federal Home Loan Bank Stock:** The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank system. Members are required to own a certain amount of stock based on their level of borrowings and other factors, and may invest in additional amounts. Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago (“FHLBC”) stock is carried at cost and classified as a restricted security. Accounting guidance for FHLBC stock provides that, for impairment testing purposes, the value of long term investments such as our FHLBC common stock is based on the “ultimate recoverability” of the par value of the security without regard to temporary declines in value. Both cash and stock dividends are reported as income.

**Loans Held-for-Sale:** Mortgage loans originated and intended for sale in the secondary market are carried at the lower of aggregate cost or estimated fair market value, as determined by outstanding commitments from investors. Net unrealized losses, if any, are recorded as a valuation allowance and charged to earnings.

Mortgage loans held-for-sale are generally sold with servicing rights retained. The carrying value of mortgage loans sold is reduced by the fair value of the servicing right. Gains and losses on sales of mortgage loans are based on the difference between the selling price and the carrying value of the related loan sold.

**Loans and Loan Income:** Loans that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or payoff are reported at the principal balance outstanding, net of the allowance for loan losses, premiums and discounts on loans purchased, and net deferred loan costs. Interest income on loans is recognized in income over the term of the loan based on the amount of principal outstanding.

Premiums and discounts associated with loans purchased are amortized over the contractual term of the loan using the level-yield method.

Interest income is reported on the interest method and includes amortization of net deferred loan fees and costs over the contractual loan term, adjusted for prepayments. Interest income is discontinued at the time a loan is 90 days past due or when we do not expect to receive full payment of interest or principal. Past due status is based on the contractual terms of the loan.

All interest accrued but not received for loans that have been placed on nonaccrual status is reversed against interest income. Interest received on such loans is accounted for on the cash-basis or cost-recovery method until qualifying for return to accrual status. Once a loan is placed on non-accrual status, the borrower must generally demonstrate at least six months of payment performance before the loan is eligible to return to accrual status. The Company may have loans classified as 90 days or more past due and still accruing. Generally, the Company does not utilize this category of loan classification unless: (1) the loan is repaid in full shortly after the period end date; (2) the loan is well-secured and there are no asserted or pending barriers to its collection; or (3) the borrower has remitted all scheduled payments and is otherwise in substantial compliance with the terms of the loan, but the processing of loan payments actually received or the renewal of the loan has not occurred for administrative reasons.

**Allowance for Loan Losses:** The Company establishes provisions for loan losses, which are charged to the Company’s results of operations to maintain the allowance for loan losses to absorb probable incurred credit losses in the loan portfolio. In determining the level of the allowance for loan losses, the Company considers past and current loss experience, trends in nonaccrual loans, evaluations of real estate collateral, current economic conditions, volume and type of lending, adverse situations that may affect a borrower’s ability to repay a loan and the levels of nonperforming and other classified loans. The amount of the allowance is based on estimates and the ultimate losses may vary from the estimates as more information becomes available or events change.

**BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

**Note 1 – Condensed Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)**

The Company provides for loan losses based on the allowance method. Accordingly, all loan losses are charged to the related allowance and all recoveries are credited to it. Additions to the allowance for loan losses are provided by charges to income based on various factors that, in our judgment, deserve current recognition in estimating probable incurred credit losses. The Company reviews the loan portfolio on an ongoing basis and makes provisions for loan losses on a quarterly basis to maintain the allowance for loan losses in accordance with GAAP. The allowance for loan losses consists of two components:

- specific allowances established for any impaired residential owner or non-owner occupied mortgage, multi-family mortgage, nonresidential real estate, construction and land, commercial, and commercial lease loans for which the recorded investment in the loan exceeds the measured value of the loan; and
- general allowances for loan losses for each loan class based on historical loan loss experience; and adjustments to historical loss experience (general allowances), maintained to cover uncertainties that affect our estimate of probable incurred credit losses for each loan class. If the remaining unamortized discount related to a specific pool of purchased performing loans exceeds the estimated credit losses associated with these loans, no general valuation allowance is recorded against the loans.

The adjustments to historical loss experience are based on our evaluation of several factors, including levels of, and trends in, past due and classified loans; levels of, and trends in, charge-offs and recoveries; trends in volume and terms of loans, including any credit concentrations in the loan portfolio; experience, ability, and depth of lending management and other relevant staff; and national and local economic trends and conditions.

The Company evaluates the allowance for loan losses based upon the combined total of the specific and general components. Generally, when the loan portfolio increases, absent other factors, the allowance for loan loss methodology results in a higher dollar amount of estimated probable incurred credit losses than would be the case without the increase. Conversely, when the loan portfolio decreases, absent other factors, the allowance for loan loss methodology generally results in a lower dollar amount of estimated probable losses than would be the case without the decrease.

The following summarizes the applicable factors evaluated with respect to the general allowance for loan losses for each loan class:

*One-to-Four Family Residential Mortgage Loans.* The U.S. Index of Leading Economic Indicators is the national economic factor utilized for residential loans; however, because the Company deems local economic factors more relevant to this loan class, the national economic factor accounts for only a 10% weighting. A composite index of the Chicago Purchasing Managers index and the regional unemployment rate comprises the local economic factor and is assigned a 20% weighting, except for non-owner-occupied loans which are assigned a 50% weighting due to their greater reliance on low-income family tenancies. The Company's historical loss ratio for residential loans is computed using a rolling twelve-quarter average and is assigned a 70% weighting, except for non-owner-occupied loans which are assigned a 40% weighting.

*Multi-family Mortgage Loans.* The U.S. Index of Leading Economic Indicators is the national economic factor utilized for multi-family mortgage loans; however, because the Company deems local economic factors more relevant to this class, the national economic factor accounts for only a 10% weighting. A composite index of the Chicago Purchasing Managers index and the regional unemployment rate comprises the local economic factor and is assigned a 30% weighting. The Company's historical loss ratio for this class of loans is computed using a rolling twelve-quarter average and is assigned a 60% weighting.

*Wholesale Commercial Loans.* This loan class presently consists of multi-family loans originated outside of the Chicago metropolitan area; however, the collateral for some loans may be located in an area contiguous to the Chicago metropolitan area. The U.S. Index of Leading Economic Indicators is the national economic factor utilized for wholesale commercial loans. Because the Company deems national economic factors more relevant to this class, the national economic factor accounts for a 50% weighting. A composite index of the Chicago Purchasing Managers index and the regional unemployment rate comprises the local economic factor and is only assigned a

**BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

**Note 1 – Condensed Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)**

10% weighting given the predominant geographic distribution of this loan class outside the Chicago metropolitan area. Because the loans in this class are also among the least seasoned in the Company's overall loan portfolio, the Company's historical loss ratio for this class of loans is computed using a rolling twelve-quarter average and is assigned a 40% weighting.

*Non-Residential Real Estate Loans.* The U.S. Index of Leading Economic Indicators is the national economic factor utilized for non-residential real estate loans; however, because the Company deems local economic factors more relevant to this class, the national economic factor accounts for only a 10% weighting. A composite index of the Chicago Purchasing Managers index and the regional unemployment rate comprises the local economic factor and is assigned a 25% weighting due to the diversity of the collateral types within this class. The Company's historical loss ratio for this class of loans is computed using a rolling twelve-quarter average and is assigned a 65% weighting.

*Construction, Development and Land Loans.* The U.S. Index of Leading Economic Indicators is the national economic factor utilized for construction, development and land loans; however, because the Company deems local economic factors more relevant to this class, the national economic factor accounts for only a 10% weighting. A composite index of the Chicago Purchasing Managers index and the regional unemployment rate comprises the local economic factor and is assigned a 25% weighting. The Company's historical loss ratio for this class of loans is computed using a rolling twelve-quarter average and is assigned a 65% weighting.

*Commercial Loans.* The U.S. Index of Leading Economic Indicators is the national economic factor utilized for commercial loans; however, because the Company deems local economic factors more relevant to this class, the national economic factor accounts for only a 10% weighting. A composite index of the Chicago Purchasing Managers index and the regional unemployment rate comprises the local economic factor and is assigned a 30% weighting for general secured and unsecured loans and 10% for health-care related loans (due to the government-guaranteed status of the underlying eligible collateral). The Company's historical loss ratio for this class of loans is computed using a rolling twelve-quarter average and is thus assigned a weighting between of 60% and 80% depending on the nature of the collateral, if any.

*Commercial Leases.* This loan class consists of commercial leases originated outside of the Chicago metropolitan area. The U.S. Index of Leading Economic Indicators is the national economic factor utilized for national commercial leases. Because the Company deems national economic factors more relevant to this class, the national economic factor accounts for between 25% to 75% of the weighting, depending on the credit quality of the lessee; investment grade lessees merit a lower weighting due to their typically globally-based operations compared to a below-investment grade domestic lessee, for which the condition of the U.S. national economy has a considerably greater impact. The Company's historical loss ratio for this class of loans is computed using a rolling twelve-quarter average and is assigned a weighting equal to the reciprocal of the national economic factor weighting assigned.

*Consumer Loans.* The U.S. Index of Leading Economic Indicators is the national economic factor utilized for consumer real estate loans; however, because the Company deems local economic factors more relevant to this class, the national economic factor accounts for only a 10% weighting. A composite index of the Chicago Purchasing Managers index and the regional unemployment rate comprises the local economic factor and is assigned a 20% weighting. The Company's historical loss ratio for this class of loans is computed using a rolling twelve-quarter average and is assigned a 70% weighting. Loans secured by deposit accounts held by the Company do not have a material allowance due to the absence of credit risk presented by the collateralization status of this sub-class of consumer loans.

**Reclassifications:** Certain reclassifications have been made in the prior year's financial statements to conform to the current period's presentation.

These unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, and all amendments thereto, as supplemented by quarterly and other reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

**BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

**Note 2 – Earnings (loss) per share**

Amounts reported in earnings (loss) per share reflect earnings available to common stockholders for the period divided by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period, exclusive of unearned ESOP shares and unvested restricted stock shares. Stock options and restricted stock are regarded as potential common stock and are considered in the diluted earnings per share calculations to the extent that they would have a dilutive effect if converted to common stock.

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$ 1,026	\$ (288)	\$ 249	\$ 428
Average common shares outstanding	21,072,966	21,301,212	21,072,966	21,358,476
Less:				
Unearned ESOP shares	(1,350,347)	(1,457,047)	(1,362,395)	(1,472,447)
Unvested restricted stock shares	(8,667)	(106,850)	(8,667)	(107,735)
Weighted average common shares outstanding	<u>19,713,952</u>	<u>19,737,315</u>	<u>19,701,904</u>	<u>19,778,294</u>
<b>Basic earnings (loss) per common share</b>	<u>\$ 0.05</u>	<u>\$ (0.01)</u>	<u>\$ 0.01</u>	<u>\$ 0.02</u>
Weighted average common shares outstanding	19,713,952	19,737,315	19,701,904	19,778,294
Net effect of dilutive stock options and unvested restricted stock	1,528	–	1,696	13,596
Weighted average diluted common shares outstanding	<u>19,715,480</u>	<u>19,737,315</u>	<u>19,703,600</u>	<u>19,791,890</u>
<b>Diluted earnings (loss) per common share</b>	<u>\$ 0.05</u>	<u>\$ (0.01)</u>	<u>\$ 0.01</u>	<u>\$ 0.02</u>
Number of anti-dilutive stock options excluded from the diluted earnings (loss) per share calculation	2,202,553	2,320,803	2,202,553	2,320,803
Weighted average exercise price of anti-dilutive stock options	\$ 16.48	\$ 16.51	\$ 16.48	\$ 16.51

**BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

**Note 3 – Securities**

The amortized cost and fair value of securities and the related gross unrealized gains and losses recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) are as follows:

	<b>Amortized Cost</b>	<b>Gross Unrealized Gains</b>	<b>Gross Unrealized Losses</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>
<b>June 30, 2011</b>				
Certificates of deposit	\$ 22,208	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 22,208
Municipal securities	675	-	-	675
Equity mutual fund	500	13	-	513
Mortgage-backed securities - residential	36,997	1,247	(64)	38,180
Collateralized mortgage obligations - residential	33,257	683	(21)	33,919
SBA-guaranteed loan participation certificates	50	1	-	51
	<u>\$ 93,687</u>	<u>\$ 1,944</u>	<u>\$ (85)</u>	<u>\$ 95,546</u>
<b>December 31, 2010</b>				
Certificates of deposit	\$ 27,766	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 27,766
Municipal securities	675	34	-	709
Mortgage-backed securities - residential	41,034	1,427	(26)	42,435
Collateralized mortgage obligations - residential	48,262	1,477	(7)	49,732
SBA-guaranteed loan participation certificates	103	2	-	105
	<u>\$ 117,840</u>	<u>\$ 2,940</u>	<u>\$ (33)</u>	<u>\$ 120,747</u>

Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations reflected in the preceding table were issued by U.S. government-sponsored entities and agencies, Freddie Mac, Fannie Mae and Ginnie Mae, and are obligations which the U.S. government has affirmed its commitment to support. All securities reflected in the preceding table were classified as available-for-sale at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

The amortized cost and fair values of securities at June 30, 2011 by contractual maturity are shown below. Securities not due at a single maturity date are shown separately. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	<b>June 30, 2011</b>	
	<b>Amortized Cost</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>
Within one year	\$ 22,368	\$ 22,368
One to five years	515	515
	<u>22,883</u>	<u>22,883</u>
Equity mutual fund	500	513
Mortgage-backed securities - residential	36,997	38,180
Collateralized mortgage obligations - residential	33,257	33,919
SBA-guaranteed loan participation certificates	50	51
Total	<u>\$ 93,687</u>	<u>\$ 95,546</u>

**BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

**Note 3 – Securities (continued)**

Securities with unrealized losses at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 not recognized in income are as follows:

	<u>Less than 12 Months</u>		<u>12 Months or More</u>		<u>Total</u>	
	<u>Fair Value</u>	<u>Unrealized Loss</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>	<u>Unrealized Loss</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>	<u>Unrealized Loss</u>
<b>June 30, 2011</b>						
Mortgage-backed securities – residential	\$ 4,858	\$ 64	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,858	\$ 64
Collateralized mortgage obligations – residential	2,943	21	-	-	2,943	21
Total	<u>\$ 7,801</u>	<u>\$ 85</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 7,801</u>	<u>\$ 85</u>
<b>December 31, 2010</b>						
Mortgage-backed securities – residential	\$ 7,546	\$ 26	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7,546	\$ 26
Collateralized mortgage obligations – residential	5,102	7	-	-	5,102	7
Total	<u>\$ 12,648</u>	<u>\$ 33</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 12,648</u>	<u>\$ 33</u>

The Company evaluates marketable investment securities with significant declines in fair value on a quarterly basis to determine whether they should be considered other-than-temporarily impaired under current accounting guidance, which generally provides that if a marketable security is in an unrealized loss position, whether due to general market conditions or industry or issuer-specific factors, the holder of the securities must assess whether the impairment is other-than-temporary.

Certain residential mortgage-backed securities and residential collateralized mortgage obligations that the Company holds in its investment portfolio remained in an unrealized loss position at June 30, 2011, but the declines in fair value were not considered significant under the Company's impairment testing methodology.

**Note 4 – Loans Receivable**

Loans receivable are as follows:

	<u>June 30,</u> <u>2011</u>	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2010</u>
One-to-four family residential real estate loans	\$ 291,135	\$ 256,300
Multi-family mortgage loans	447,162	296,916
Nonresidential real estate loans	328,100	281,987
Construction and land loans	24,339	18,398
Commercial loans	73,622	64,679
Commercial leases	145,858	151,107
Consumer loans	3,109	2,182
Total loans	1,313,325	1,071,569
Net deferred loan origination costs	1,037	1,377
Allowance for loan losses	(22,963)	(22,180)
Loans, net	<u>\$ 1,291,399</u>	<u>\$ 1,050,766</u>

**BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

**Note 4 – Loans Receivable (continued)**

**Allowance for Losses on Loans and Leases**

The following table presents the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the loans receivable by portfolio segment and based on impairment method as of June 30, 2011:

	Allowance for loan losses			Loan Balances			
	Individually evaluated for impairment	Collectively evaluated for impairment	Total	Individually evaluated for impairment	Purchased impaired loans	Collectively evaluated for impairment	Total
One-to-four family residential real estate loans	\$ 1,482	\$ 3,554	\$ 5,036	\$ 5,439	\$ 2,757	\$ 282,939	\$ 291,135
Multi-family mortgage loans	2,547	4,041	6,588	13,251	1,778	432,133	447,162
Nonresidential real estate loans	2,162	4,377	6,539	14,097	4,256	309,747	328,100
Construction and land loans	315	565	880	504	9,391	14,444	24,339
Commercial loans	2,045	1,160	3,205	3,886	1,294	68,442	73,622
Commercial leases	72	624	696	72	-	145,786	145,858
Consumer loans	-	19	19	-	-	3,109	3,109
Total	<u>\$ 8,623</u>	<u>\$ 14,340</u>	<u>\$ 22,963</u>	<u>\$ 37,249</u>	<u>\$ 19,476</u>	<u>\$ 1,256,600</u>	1,313,325
Net deferred loan origination costs							1,037
Allowance for loan losses							(22,963)
Loans, net							<u>\$ 1,291,399</u>

**BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

**Note 4 – Loans Receivable (continued)**

The following table presents the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the loans receivable by portfolio segment and based on impairment method as of December 31, 2010:

	Allowance for loan losses			Loan Balances		
	Individually evaluated for impairment	Collectively evaluated for impairment	Total	Individually evaluated for impairment	Collectively evaluated for impairment	Total
One-to-four family residential real estate loans	\$ 686	\$ 2,870	\$ 3,556	\$ 4,022	\$ 252,278	\$ 256,300
Multi-family mortgage loans	3,231	3,801	7,032	13,971	282,945	296,916
Nonresidential real estate loans	1,637	4,077	5,714	12,722	269,265	281,987
Construction and land loans	1,855	606	2,461	6,138	12,260	18,398
Commercial loans	1,931	948	2,879	3,766	60,913	64,679
Commercial leases	72	446	518	72	151,035	151,107
Consumer loans	—	20	20	—	2,182	2,182
Total	<u>\$ 9,412</u>	<u>\$ 12,768</u>	<u>\$ 22,180</u>	<u>\$ 40,691</u>	<u>\$ 1,030,878</u>	<u>1,071,569</u>
Net deferred loan origination costs						1,377
Allowance for loan losses						<u>(22,180)</u>
Loans, net						<u>\$ 1,050,766</u>



**BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

**Note 4 – Loans Receivable (continued)**

Activity in the allowance for loan losses is as follows:

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Beginning balance	\$ 22,504	\$ 17,516	\$ 22,180	\$ 18,622
Loans charged off				
One-to-four family residential real estate loans	(415)	(340)	(2,043)	(1,602)
Multi-family mortgage loans	(542)	(290)	(779)	(468)
Nonresidential real estate loans	-	(406)	-	(408)
Construction and land loans	(1,771)	-	(2,149)	(525)
Commercial loans	(42)	(199)	(42)	(199)
Commercial leases	-	-	-	-
Consumer loans	(1)	(6)	(17)	(13)
	<u>(2,771)</u>	<u>(1,241)</u>	<u>(5,030)</u>	<u>(3,215)</u>
Recoveries:				
One-to-four family residential real estate loans	5	7	7	8
Multi-family mortgage loans	32	2	121	2
Nonresidential real estate loans	5	20	63	36
Construction and land loans	-	-	-	-
Commercial loans	13	-	23	-
Commercial leases	-	-	-	-
Consumer loans	-	-	-	-
Recoveries	<u>55</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>214</u>	<u>46</u>
Net charge-off	(2,716)	(1,212)	(4,816)	(3,169)
Provision for loan losses	<u>3,175</u>	<u>2,665</u>	<u>5,599</u>	<u>3,516</u>
Ending balance	<u>\$ 22,963</u>	<u>\$ 18,969</u>	<u>\$ 22,963</u>	<u>\$ 18,969</u>

**Impaired Loans**

The Company considers a loan to be impaired when it does not expect to receive full payment of interest or principal. The Company evaluates a loan for impairment, for placement on non-accrual status and for classification as a Troubled Debt Restructuring (“TDR”) at the same point in time. When the Company identifies a loan as impaired, the impairment is measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows, discounted at the loan’s effective interest rate, except when the remaining source of repayment for the loan is the operations or liquidation of the collateral. In such cases, the Company measures the impairment using the current fair value of the collateral, less selling costs when foreclosure is probable, instead of discounted cash flows. If the net realizable value of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan (net of previous charge-offs, deferred loan fees or costs and unamortized premium or discount), the Company recognizes the amount of the impairment by establishing a specific valuation reserve allowance estimate or by a charge-off to the allowance if it can be confirmed that the amount of the impairment is uncollectable.

**BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

**Note 4 – Loans Receivable (continued)**

Non-owner occupied one-to-four family residential real estate loans and certain related owner-occupied residential real estate loans, multi-family real estate loans, nonresidential real estate loans, construction, development and land loans, commercial loans, and commercial leases are individually evaluated for impairment. One-to-four family owner-occupied residential real estate loans in the process of transfer to Other Real Estate Owned (“OREO”) may be individually evaluated based on updated appraisals. Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans, such as one-to-four-family residential real estate loans and consumer loans, are collectively evaluated for impairment, and accordingly, they are not separately identified for impairment disclosures.

Impaired loans are summarized as follows:

	<b>June 30, 2011</b>	<b>December 31, 2010</b>
Period end impaired loans with allocated allowance for loan losses	\$ 25,029	\$ 31,057
Period end impaired loans with no allocated allowance for loan losses	12,220	9,634
Period end troubled debt restructured loans not individually evaluated	<u>9,024</u>	<u>2,975</u>
Total impaired loans	<u>\$ 46,273</u>	<u>\$ 43,666</u>

The following table includes the unpaid principal balances and recorded investment for impaired loans with the associated allowance amount, if applicable. In addition, the table includes the average recorded investments in the impaired loans and the related amount of interest recognized for the duration of the impairment within the period reported.

---

**BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

**Note 4 – Loans Receivable (continued)**

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class loans:

---

**BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

				For the three months ended June 30, 2011		For the six months ended June 30, 2011	
	Unpaid Principal Balance	Recorded Investment	Allowance for Loan Losses Allocated	Average Investment in Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized	Average Investment in Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized
<b>June 30, 2011</b>							
With no related allowance recorded:							
One-to-four family residential real estate loans	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 66	\$ 2	\$ 74	\$ 2
One-to-four family residential real estate loans - non-owner occupied	992	1,098	-	1,118	59	1,109	75
Multi-family mortgage loans	5,700	5,981	-	3,843	40	4,180	62
Nonresidential real estate loans	4,975	5,095	-	4,169	51	4,703	76
Construction loans	-	-	-	250	-	143	-
Land loans	-	-	-	152	-	76	-
Commercial loans – secured	553	613	-	343	-	405	-
Commercial loans - other	-	-	-	97	5	83	8
	<u>12,220</u>	<u>12,787</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>10,038</u>	<u>157</u>	<u>10,773</u>	<u>223</u>
With an allowance recorded:							
One-to-four family residential real estate loans	\$ 657	\$ 713	\$ 93	\$ 335	\$ -	\$ 597	\$ -
One-to-four family residential real estate loans - non-owner occupied	3,790	3,957	1,389	2,815	6	2,936	6
Multi-family mortgage loans	3,146	3,307	1,078	5,815	-	4,968	-
Wholesale commercial lending	4,405	4,676	1,469	4,405	-	4,405	-
Nonresidential real estate loans	9,122	9,358	2,162	8,773	-	8,529	71
Construction loans	121	137	121	3,024	-	2,585	-
Land loans	383	504	194	2,663	-	1,928	-
Commercial loans – secured	3,175	3,467	1,918	3,054	2	3,074	2
Commercial loans – unsecured	158	208	127	158	-	158	-
Non-rated commercial leases	72	77	72	72	-	72	-
	<u>25,029</u>	<u>26,404</u>	<u>8,623</u>	<u>31,114</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>29,252</u>	<u>79</u>
Total	<u>\$ 37,249</u>	<u>\$ 39,191</u>	<u>\$ 8,623</u>	<u>\$ 41,152</u>	<u>\$ 165</u>	<u>\$ 40,025</u>	<u>\$ 302</u>

**BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

**Note 4 – Loans Receivable (continued)**

	<u>Unpaid Principal Balance</u>	<u>Recorded Investment</u>	<u>Allowance for Loan Losses Allocated</u>	<u>Average Investment in Impaired Loans</u>	<u>Interest Income Recognized</u>
<b>December 31, 2010</b>					
With no related allowance recorded:					
One-to-four family residential real estate loans - non-owner occupied	\$ 1,244	\$ 1,321	\$ -	\$ 3,028	\$ 69
Multi-family mortgage loans	3,554	3,723	-	8,264	142
Wholesale commercial lending	-	-	-	1,780	-
Nonresidential real estate loans	3,949	4,008	-	4,481	221
Construction loans	333	357	-	1,108	55
Land loans	-	-	-	772	-
Commercial loans – secured	457	478	-	347	10
Commercial loans - other	97	117	-	83	7
Non-rated commercial leases	-	-	-	20	-
	<u>9,634</u>	<u>10,004</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>19,883</u>	<u>504</u>
With an allowance recorded:					
One-to-four family residential real estate loans - non-owner occupied	\$ 2,778	\$ 2,888	\$ 686	\$ 2,075	\$ 31
Multi-family mortgage loans	6,012	6,362	1,709	4,058	97
Wholesale commercial lending	4,405	4,589	1,522	881	71
Nonresidential real estate loans	8,773	8,837	1,637	6,255	416
Construction loans	2,940	3,244	730	2,447	-
Land loans	2,865	3,339	1,125	2,676	-
Commercial loans – secured	3,054	3,265	1,804	3,783	28
Commercial loans – unsecured	158	202	127	82	-
Non-rated commercial leases	72	77	72	29	4
	<u>31,057</u>	<u>32,803</u>	<u>9,412</u>	<u>22,286</u>	<u>647</u>
Total	<u>\$ 40,691</u>	<u>\$ 42,807</u>	<u>\$ 9,412</u>	<u>\$ 42,169</u>	<u>\$ 1,151</u>

**BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

**Note 4 – Loans Receivable (continued)**

**Purchased Impaired Loans**

As a result of its acquisition of Downers Grove National Bank (see Note 8 – Acquisitions), the Company holds purchased loans for which there was evidence of deterioration of credit quality since origination and for which it was probable that all contractually required payments would not be collected as of the date of the acquisition. The carrying amount of these purchased impaired loans is as follows:

	<b>June 30, 2011</b>
One-to-four family residential real estate loans	\$ 2,201
Multi-family mortgage loans	1,398
Nonresidential real estate loans	3,369
Construction loans	3,431
Land loans	4,328
Commercial loans – secured and unsecured	1,029
<b>Outstanding balance</b>	<b>\$ 15,756</b>
Carrying amount, net of allowance	<b>\$ 15,756</b>

Accretable yield, or income expected to be collected, related to purchased impaired loans is as follows:

Balance at January 1, 2011	\$ -
New loans purchased	4,108
Accretion of income	388
Balance at June 30, 2011	<b>\$ 3,720</b>

There is no allowance for loan losses related to purchased impaired loans due to their having been marked to market at the date of acquisition.

Purchased impaired loans for which it was probable at the date of acquisition that all contractually required payments would not be collected are as follows:

	<b>June 30, 2011</b>
<b>Contractually required payments receivable of loans purchased:</b>	
One-to-four family residential real estate loans	\$ 4,135
Multi-family mortgage loans	3,456
Nonresidential real estate loans	5,515
Construction loans	4,856
Land loans	7,153
Commercial loans	7,156
Consumer	44
	<b>\$ 32,315</b>
Cash flows expected to be collected at acquisition, subject to adjustment with final purchase price adjustment	\$ 19,944
Fair value of purchased impaired loans at acquisition	<b>\$ 15,836</b>

**BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

**Note 4 – Loans Receivable (continued)**

**Nonaccrual loans**

The following table presents the recorded investment in nonaccrual and loans past due over 90 days still on accrual by class of loans, excluding purchased impaired loans:

	Nonaccrual loans	Recorded Investment	Loans Past Due Over 90 Days, still accruing
<b>June 30, 2011</b>			
One-to-four family residential real estate loans	\$ 7,485	\$ 7,917	\$ -
One-to-four family residential real estate loans – non owner occupied	5,178	4,621	448
Multi-family mortgage loans	7,955	8,410	739
Wholesale commercial lending	4,405	4,676	-
Nonresidential real estate loans	12,393	12,763	-
Construction loans	121	137	-
Land loans	383	504	-
Commercial loans – secured	3,632	3,959	-
Commercial loans – unsecured	158	208	-
Commercial loans – other	96	119	-
Non-rated commercial leases	72	77	-
Consumer loans	-	-	-
	<u>\$ 41,878</u>	<u>\$ 43,391</u>	<u>\$ 1,187</u>

	Nonaccrual loans	Recorded Investment	Loans Past Due Over 90 Days, still accruing
<b>December 31, 2010</b>			
One-to-four family residential real estate loans	\$ 5,748	\$ 6,115	\$ 47
One-to-four family residential real estate loans – non owner occupied	4,311	4,513	496
Multi-family mortgage loans	8,823	9,327	275
Wholesale commercial lending	4,405	4,589	-
Nonresidential real estate loans	12,428	12,575	-
Construction loans	3,274	3,601	-
Land loans	2,865	3,339	-
Commercial loans – secured	3,511	3,743	-
Commercial loans – unsecured	158	202	-
Commercial loans – other	97	118	-
Non-rated commercial leases	72	77	-
Consumer loans	3	3	-
	<u>\$ 45,695</u>	<u>\$ 48,202</u>	<u>\$ 818</u>

Nonaccrual loans and impaired loans are defined differently. Some loans may be included in both categories, and some may only be included in one category. Nonaccrual loans include both smaller balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment and individually classified impaired loans.

**BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

**Note 4 – Loans Receivable (continued)**

The Company's reserve for uncollected loan interest was \$2.6 million at June 30, 2011 and \$2.7 million at December 31, 2010. Except for purchased impaired loans, when a loan is on non-accrual status and the ultimate collectability of the total principal of an impaired loan is in doubt, all payments are applied to principal under the cost recovery method. Alternatively, when a loan is on non-accrual status but there is doubt concerning only the ultimate collectability of interest, contractual interest is credited to interest income only when received, under the cash basis method pursuant to the provisions of FASB ASC 310-10-50-14(A), FASB ASC 310-10-50-15(b), FASB ASC 310-10-50-15(d), FASB ASC 310-10-50-15(e) and FASB ASC 310-10-50-16, as applicable. In all cases, the average balances are calculated based on the month-end balances of the financing receivables within the period reported pursuant to the provisions of FASB ASC 310-10-50-15(b) and FASB ASC 310-10-50-17, as applicable.

Generally, the Company utilizes the "90 days delinquent, still accruing" category of loan classification when: (1) the loan is repaid in full shortly after the period end date; (2) the loan is well secured and there are no asserted or pending legal barriers to its collection; or (3) the borrower has remitted all scheduled payments and is otherwise in substantial compliance with the terms of the loan, but the processing of payments actually received or the renewal of a loan has not occurred for administrative reasons.



**BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

**Note 4 – Loans Receivable (continued)**

**Past Due Loans**

The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans as June 30, 2011 by class of loans, excluding purchased impaired loans:

	<u>30-59 Days Past Due</u>	<u>60-89 Days Past Due</u>	<u>90 Days or Greater Past Due</u>	<u>Total Past Due</u>	<u>Loans Not Past Due</u>	<u>Total</u>
One-to-four family residential real estate loans	\$ 980	\$ 1,211	\$ 7,218	\$ 9,409	\$ 196,201	\$ 205,610
One-to-four family residential real estate loans - non-owner occupied	1,730	481	4,577	6,788	77,026	83,814
Multi-family mortgage loans	2,315	7,886	8,861	19,062	357,652	376,714
Wholesale commercial lending	-	-	4,676	4,676	62,926	67,602
Nonresidential real estate loans	7,493	2,358	12,111	21,962	300,838	322,800
Construction loans	831	-	137	968	1,821	2,789
Land loans	2,540	660	504	3,704	10,193	13,897
Commercial loans:						
Secured	1,003	371	3,959	5,333	28,752	34,085
Unsecured	418	39	208	665	9,799	10,464
Municipal loans	-	-	-	-	7,007	7,007
Warehouse lines	1,230	-	-	1,230	4,887	6,117
Health care	-	-	-	-	6,518	6,518
Other	-	-	117	117	9,009	9,126
Commercial leases:						
Investment rated commercial leases	353	836	-	1,189	90,779	91,968
Below investment grade	-	-	-	-	4,051	4,051
Non-rated	393	1,004	77	1,474	42,102	43,576
Lease pools	-	-	-	-	7,702	7,702
Consumer loans	<u>6</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>2,757</u>	<u>2,779</u>
<b>Total</b>	<u>\$ 19,292 <sup>(1)</sup></u>	<u>\$ 14,862 <sup>(1)</sup></u>	<u>\$ 42,445</u>	<u>\$ 76,599</u>	<u>\$ 1,220,020</u>	<u>\$ 1,296,619</u>

(1) 48% of the combined 30-89 days past due loans have matured and are in the process of analysis and renewal.

**BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

**Note 4 – Loans Receivable (continued)**

The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in past due purchased impaired loans at June 30, 2011 by class of loans:

	<u>30-59 Days Past Due</u>	<u>60-89 Days Past Due</u>	<u>90 Days or Greater Past Due</u>	<u>Total Past Due</u>	<u>Loans Not Past Due</u>	<u>Total</u>
One-to-four family residential real estate loans - non-owner occupied	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 456	\$ 456	\$ 2,300	\$ 2,756
Multi-family mortgage loans	-	-	1,779	1,779	-	1,779
Nonresidential real estate loans	-	214	75	289	3,967	4,256
Construction loans	1,278	-	2,720	3,998	-	3,998
Land loans	2,402	-	2,991	5,393	-	5,393
Commercial loans - secured	-	-	848	848	446	1,294
<b>Total</b>	<u>\$ 3,680<sup>(1)</sup></u>	<u>\$ 214</u>	<u>\$ 8,869</u>	<u>\$ 12,763</u>	<u>\$ 6,713</u>	<u>\$ 19,476</u>

(1) 100% of these loans have matured and are in the in the process of analysis and renewal.

**BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

**Note 4 – Loans Receivable (continued)**

The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans as December 31, 2010 by class of loans:

	<b>30-59 Days Past Due</b>	<b>60-89 Days Past Due</b>	<b>90 Days or Greater Past Due</b>	<b>Total Past Due</b>	<b>Loans Not Past Due</b>	<b>Total</b>
One-to-four family residential real estate loans	\$ 1,202	\$ 202	\$ 5,890	\$ 7,294	\$ 172,438	\$ 179,732
One-to-four family residential real estate loans - non-owner occupied	3,335	449	5,019	8,803	68,127	76,930
Multi-family mortgage loans	7,174	4,304	9,588	21,066	202,481	223,547
Wholesale commercial lending	1,231	-	4,589	5,820	67,712	73,532
Nonresidential real estate loans	9,270	16,061	3,944	29,275	251,139	280,414
Construction loans	1,267	1,284	3,601	6,152	3,321	9,473
Land loans	-	-	3,339	3,339	6,422	9,761
Commercial loans:						
Secured	929	700	3,712	5,341	18,370	23,711
Unsecured	551	178	202	931	6,558	7,489
Municipal loans	-	-	-	-	4,629	4,629
Warehouse lines	-	-	-	-	12,320	12,320
Health care	-	-	-	-	8,089	8,089
Other	7,060	-	118	7,178	1,922	9,100
Commercial leases:						
Investment rated commercial leases	2,039	2,312	-	4,351	83,506	87,857
Below investment grade	3	-	-	3	3,725	3,728
Non-rated	3,382	434	76	3,892	47,199	51,091
Lease pools	-	-	-	-	9,791	9,791
Consumer loans	3	-	4	7	2,186	2,193
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 37,446<sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>\$ 25,924<sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>\$ 40,082</b>	<b>\$ 103,452</b>	<b>\$ 969,935</b>	<b>\$ 1,073,387</b>

(1) 41% of the combined 30-89 days past due loans have matured and are in the in the process of analysis and renewal.

**BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

**Note 4 – Loans Receivable (continued)**

**Troubled Debt Restructurings**

The Company evaluates loan extensions or modifications in accordance with FASB ASC 310-40 with respect to the classification of the loan as a troubled debt restructuring (“TDR”). In general, if the Company grants a loan extension or modification to a borrower for other than an insignificant period of time that includes a below-market interest rate, principal forgiveness, payment forbearance or other concession intended to minimize the economic loss to the Company, the loan extension or loan modification is classified as a TDR. In cases where borrowers are granted new terms that provide for a reduction of either interest or principal then due and payable, management measures any impairment on the restructured loan in the same manner as for impaired loans as noted above.

The Company had \$11.9 million of TDRs at June 30, 2011, compared to \$6.5 million at December 31, 2010, with \$1.4 million in specific valuation allowances allocated to those loans at June 30, 2011, and \$658,000 in specific valuation reserves allocated at December 31, 2010. The Company had no outstanding commitments to borrowers whose loans are classified as TDRs.

**Credit Quality Indicators**

The Company categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt, including current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. The Company analyzes loans individually by classifying the loans based on credit risk. This analysis includes non-homogeneous loans, such as commercial and commercial real estate loans. This analysis is performed on a monthly basis. The Company uses the following definitions for risk ratings:

**Watch List.** Loans classified as Watch List exhibit transitory risk. Loan debt service coverage is somewhat erratic, future coverage is uncertain, liquidity is strained and leverage capacity is considered minimal. Indicators of potential deterioration of repayment sources have resulted in uncertainty or unknown factors concerning credit status.

**Special Mention.** Loans classified as Special Mention have a potential weakness that deserves management’s close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan or of the institution’s credit position at some future date.

**Substandard.** Loans classified as Substandard are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

**Doubtful.** Loans classified as Doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable.

Loans not meeting the criteria above that are analyzed individually as part of the above described process are considered “Pass” rated loans.

BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)  
(unaudited)

**Note 4 – Loans Receivable (continued)**

As of June 30, 2011, and based on the most recent analysis performed, the risk category of loans by class of loans is as follows:

	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Watch List</u>	<u>Special Mention</u>	<u>Substandard</u>	<u>Doubtful</u>	<u>Total</u>
One-to-four family residential real estate loans	\$ 198,925	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,241	\$ -	\$ 205,166
One-to-four family residential real estate loans - non-owner occupied	67,649	5,171	2,122	11,027	-	85,969
Multi-family mortgage loans	344,239	17,188	4,038	10,232	-	375,697
Wholesale commercial lending	65,805	1,351	-	4,309	-	71,465
Nonresidential real estate loans	254,688	32,013	23,944	17,455	-	328,100
Construction loans	1,895	507	237	3,551	-	6,190
Land loans	8,776	3,430	1,102	4,592	249	18,149
Commercial loans:						
Secured	25,019	4,501	164	4,878	98	34,660
Unsecured	9,070	563	87	603	58	10,381
Municipal loans	6,925	-	-	-	-	6,925
Warehouse lines	6,079	-	-	-	-	6,079
Health care	5,953	415	143	-	-	6,511
Other	8,970	-	-	96	-	9,066
Commercial leases:						
Investment rated commercial leases	90,895	36	-	-	-	90,931
Below investment grade	3,648	376	-	-	-	4,024
Non-rated	43,004	161	-	-	72	43,237
Lease pools	7,666	-	-	-	-	7,666
Consumer loans	<u>3,109</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>3,109</u>
<b>Total</b>	<b><u>\$ 1,152,315</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 65,712</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 31,837</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 62,984</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 477</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 1,313,325</u></b>

The Company assigned loans attributable to the acquisition of Downers Grove National Bank to risk classification categories on a provisional basis, including the assignment of the purchased impaired loans resulting from the transaction to the Substandard credit risk classification category. The Company will continue to evaluate purchase accounting during the measurement period.

BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)  
(unaudited)

**Note 4 – Loans Receivable (continued)**

As of December 31, 2010, and based on the most recent analysis performed, the risk category of loans by class of loans is as follows:

	Pass	Watch List	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Total
One-to-four family residential real estate loans	\$174,349	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,097	\$ -	\$ 179,446
One-to-four family residential real estate loans - non-owner occupied	65,071	6,400	776	4,607	-	76,854
Multi-family mortgage loans	197,427	12,348	4,642	9,501	-	223,918
Wholesale commercial lending	67,304	1,361	-	4,333	-	72,998
Nonresidential real estate loans	225,528	24,997	18,756	12,706	-	281,987
Construction loans	4,576	-	1,252	3,274	-	9,102
Land loans	3,057	3,196	181	2,613	249	9,296
Commercial loans:					-	
Secured	17,504	2,186	174	3,401	98	23,363
Unsecured	6,647	595	16	99	58	7,415
Municipal loans	4,540	-	-	-	-	4,540
Warehouse lines	12,274	-	-	-	-	12,274
Health care	7,851	71	149	-	-	8,071
Other	8,629	290	-	97	-	9,016
Commercial leases:					-	
Investment rated commercial leases	87,119	-	-	-	-	87,119
Below investment grade	3,148	542	-	-	-	3,690
Non-rated	49,959	569	-	-	72	50,600
Lease pools	9,698	-	-	-	-	9,698
Consumer loans	2,182	-	-	-	-	2,182
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 946,863</b>	<b>\$ 52,555</b>	<b>\$ 25,946</b>	<b>\$ 45,728</b>	<b>\$ 477</b>	<b>\$ 1,071,569</b>

BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)  
(unaudited)

**Note 5 – Deposits**

Deposits are as follows:

	<b>June 30, 2011</b>	<b>December 31, 2010</b>
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits	\$ 138,805	\$ 112,549
Savings deposits	143,880	98,894
Money market accounts	354,897	341,048
Interest-bearing NOW accounts	323,997	302,812
Certificates of deposit	416,752	380,074
	<b>\$ 1,378,331</b>	<b>\$ 1,235,377</b>

**Note 6 – Fair Values**

US GAAP establishes a hierarchy that requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. There are three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

- Level 1 - Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets.
- Level 2 - Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.
- Level 3 - Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

A financial instrument's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The fair values of marketable equity securities available-for-sale are generally determined by quoted prices, in active markets, for each specific security (Level 1 measurement inputs). If Level 1 measurement inputs are not available for a marketable equity security, we determine its fair value based on the quoted price of a similar security traded in an active market (Level 2 measurement inputs). The fair values of debt securities available-for-sale are generally determined by matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities, but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark, quoted securities (Level 2 measurement inputs).

The fair values of loans held for sale are generally determined by quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for similar, unrestricted assets (Level 2 measurement inputs).

Impaired loans are evaluated and valued at the time the loan is identified as impaired or placed into OREO, at the lower of cost or fair value. Fair value is measured based on the value of future expected cash flows and the collateral securing these loans and is classified at a Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. Collateral may be real estate and/or business assets, including equipment, inventory and/or accounts receivable, and its fair value is generally determined based on real estate appraisals or other independent evaluations by qualified professionals. Appraised and reported values may be discounted based on management's historical knowledge, changes in market conditions from the time of valuation, and/or management's expertise and knowledge of the client and client's business. Impaired loans are reviewed and evaluated on at least a quarterly basis for additional impairment and adjusted accordingly, based on the same factors identified above.

BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)  
(unaudited)

**Note 6 – Fair Values (continued)**

Real estate properties acquired in collection of a loan are initially recorded at fair value less cost to sell at acquisition, establishing a new cost basis. If fair value declines subsequent to foreclosure, a valuation allowance is recorded through expense. Expenses, gains and losses on disposition, and changes in the valuation allowance are reported in noninterest expense as operations of OREO. Fair value is generally based on third party appraisals and internal estimates and is therefore considered a Level 3 valuation.

The fair values of mortgage servicing rights are based on a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated net servicing income. The valuation model incorporates assumptions that market participants would use in estimating future net servicing income. The Company is able to compare the valuation model inputs and results to widely available published industry data for reasonableness and is therefore considered a Level 2 valuation.

The following table sets forth the Company's financial assets that were accounted for at fair value and are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Fair Value Measurements Using		
			Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
<b>June 30, 2011</b>					
Securities:					
Certificates of deposit	\$ 22,208	\$ 22,208	\$ -	\$ 22,208	\$ -
Municipal securities	675	675	-	675	-
Equity mutual fund	500	513	513	-	-
Mortgage-backed securities residential	36,997	38,180	-	38,180	-
Collateralized mortgage obligations – residential	33,257	33,919	-	33,919	-
SBA-guaranteed loan participation certificates	50	51	-	51	-
	<u>\$ 93,687</u>	<u>\$ 95,546</u>	<u>\$ 513</u>	<u>\$ 95,033</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
<b>December 31, 2010</b>					
Securities:					
Certificates of deposit	\$ 27,766	\$ 27,766	\$ -	\$ 27,766	\$ -
Municipal securities	675	709	-	709	-
Mortgage-backed securities residential	41,034	42,435	-	42,435	-
Collateralized mortgage obligations – residential	48,262	49,732	-	49,732	-
SBA-guaranteed loan participation certificates	103	105	-	105	-
	<u>\$ 117,840</u>	<u>\$ 120,747</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 120,747</u>	<u>\$ -</u>



BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)  
(unaudited)

**Note 6 – Fair Values (continued)**

The following table sets forth the Company's assets that were measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis:

	Fair Value	Fair Value Measurements Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
<b>June 30, 2011</b>				
Impaired loans				
One-to-four family residential real estate loans	\$ 657	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 657
One-to-four family residential real estate loans – non-owner occupied	3,790	-	-	3,790
Multi-family mortgage loans	7,551	-	-	7,551
Nonresidential real estate loans	9,122	-	-	9,122
Construction and land loans	504	-	-	504
Commercial loans	3,333	-	-	3,333
Commercial leases	72	-	-	72
Impaired loans	<u>\$ 25,029</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 25,029</u>
Other real estate owned:				
One-to-four family residential real estate	\$ 5,986	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,986
Multi-family mortgage	3,987	-	-	3,987
Nonresidential real estate	9,902	-	-	9,902
Land	7,157	-	-	7,157
Other real estate owned	<u>\$ 27,032</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 27,032</u>
<b>December 31, 2010</b>				
Impaired loans				
One-to-four family residential real estate loans	\$ 2,778	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,778
Multi-family mortgage loans	10,417	-	-	10,417
Nonresidential real estate loans	8,773	-	-	8,773
Construction and land loans	5,805	-	-	5,805
Commercial loans	3,212	-	-	3,212
Commercial leases	72	-	-	72
Impaired loans	<u>\$ 31,057</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 31,057</u>
Other real estate owned:				
One-to-four family residential real estate	\$ 3,015	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,015
Multi-family mortgage	2,486	-	-	2,486
Nonresidential real estate	7,376	-	-	7,376
Land	1,745	-	-	1,745
Other real estate owned	<u>\$ 14,622</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 14,622</u>

BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)  
(unaudited)

**Note 6 – Fair Values (continued)**

Impaired loans, which are measured for impairment using the fair value of the collateral for collateral-dependent loans, had a carrying amount of \$46.3 million, with a valuation allowance of \$8.6 million at June 30, 2011, compared to a carrying amount of \$43.7 million and a valuation allowance of \$9.4 million at December 31, 2010, resulting in a decrease in the provision for loan losses of \$789,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

OREO and OREO in process, which are carried at lower of cost or fair value less costs to sell, had a carrying value of \$27.0 million at June 30, 2011, which included write downs of \$478,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$14.6 million at December 31, 2010, which included write downs of \$2.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Mortgage servicing rights, which are carried at lower of cost or fair value, had a carrying amount of \$1.3 million at June 30, 2011, of which \$965,000 related to fixed rate loans and \$334,000 related to adjustable rate loans. A pre-tax recovery of \$233,000 on our mortgage servicing rights portfolio was included in net income for the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to no charge or recovery recorded for the same period in 2011.

The carrying amount and estimated fair value of financial instruments is as follows:

	June 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value
<b>Financial assets</b>				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 114,482	\$ 114,482	\$ 220,810	\$ 220,810
Securities	95,546	95,546	120,747	120,747
Loans held-for-sale	-	-	2,716	2,716
Loans receivable, net of allowance for loan losses	1,291,399	1,298,760	1,050,766	1,065,404
FHLBC stock	16,346	N/A	15,598	N/A
Accrued interest receivable	5,929	5,929	5,390	5,390
Mortgage servicing rights	1,299	1,573	1,349	1,612
<b>Financial liabilities</b>				
Non-interest-bearing demand deposits	\$ (138,805)	\$ (138,805)	\$ (112,549)	\$ (112,549)
Savings deposits	(143,880)	(143,880)	(98,894)	(98,894)
NOW and money market accounts	(678,894)	(678,894)	(643,860)	(643,860)
Certificates of deposit	(416,752)	(418,252)	(380,074)	(384,103)
Borrowings	(12,595)	(12,727)	(23,749)	(23,995)
Accrued interest payable	(294)	(294)	(146)	(146)

N/A = Not Applicable

For purposes of the above, the following assumptions were used:

**Cash and Cash Equivalents:** The estimated fair values for cash and cash equivalents are based on their carrying value due to the short-term nature of these assets.

**Loans:** The estimated fair value for loans has been determined by calculating the present value of future cash flows based on the current rate the Company would charge for similar loans with similar maturities, applied for an estimated time period until the loan is assumed to be repriced or repaid. Any specific loan losses established for impaired loans are deducted from the loan balance. The estimated fair values of loans held-for-sale are based on quoted market prices.

**FHLBC Stock:** It is not practicable to determine the fair value of Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago (“FHLBC”) stock due to the restrictions placed on its transferability.

BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)  
(unaudited)

**Note 6 – Fair Values (continued)**

**Deposit Liabilities:** The estimated fair value for certificates of deposit has been determined by calculating the present value of future cash flows based on estimates of rates the Company would pay on such deposits, applied for the time period until maturity. The estimated fair values of non-interest-bearing demand, NOW, money market, and savings deposits are assumed to approximate their carrying values as management establishes rates on these deposits at a level that approximates the local market area. Additionally, these deposits can be withdrawn on demand.

**Borrowings:** The estimated fair values of advances from the FHLBC and notes payable are based on current market rates for similar financing. The estimated fair value of securities sold under agreements to repurchase is assumed to equal its carrying value due to the short-term nature of the liability.

**Accrued Interest:** The estimated fair values of accrued interest receivable and payable are assumed to equal their carrying value.

**Off-Balance-Sheet Instruments:** Off-balance-sheet items consist principally of unfunded loan commitments, standby letters of credit, and unused lines of credit. The estimated fair values of unfunded loan commitments, standby letters of credit, and unused lines of credit are not material.

While the above estimates are based on management’s judgment of the most appropriate factors, as of the balance sheet date, there is no assurance that the estimated fair values would have been realized if the assets were disposed of or the liabilities settled at that date because market values may differ depending on the various circumstances. The estimated fair values would also not apply to subsequent dates.

In addition, other assets and liabilities that are not financial instruments, such as premises and equipment, are not included in the above disclosures.

**Note 7 - Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)**

Other comprehensive income (loss) components were as follows:

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Unrealized holding gains (losses) on securities, net of tax	\$ (88)	\$ (82)	\$ (649)	\$ 33
Net gain on sale of securities recognized, net of tax	-	19	-	19
Change in other comprehensive income, net of tax	<u>\$ (88)</u>	<u>\$ (101)</u>	<u>\$ (649)</u>	<u>\$ 14</u>

BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)  
(unaudited)

**Note 8 – Acquisitions**

The Company completed its acquisition of DG Bancorp, Inc. and its subsidiary Downers Grove National Bank, on March 18, 2011 and results for Downers Grove National Bank have been included in the Company's operations from that date. The Company accounted for the acquisition using the acquisition method. The Company recorded merger and acquisition expenses of \$1.5 million for this transaction. The acquisition method also requires an acquirer to recognize the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed at their fair values as of the acquisition date.

The Company expects its acquisition of Downers Grove National Bank to result in several benefits, including increased earnings and higher returns on stockholders' equity, more effective deployment of its excess capital, improved utilization of existing organizational capacities, expanded geographic coverage of the Company's market territory, increased deposit market share and improved convenience for its existing customers due to the addition of two new full-service branch offices.

The following table summarizes the estimated fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the date of the acquisition:

	<u>March 18, 2011</u>
<b>Assets acquired and liabilities assumed:</b>	
Cash and due from other financial institutions	\$ 1,040
Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions	60,579
Cash and cash equivalents	61,619
Securities	10,177
Loans receivable	119,239
Other real estate owned	7,210
Stock in Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank	903
Premises and equipment, net	7,401
Accrued interest receivable	355
Core deposit intangible	2,660
FDIC prepaid expense	774
Income tax receivable	774
Deferred taxes, net	2,662
Other assets	42
Total assets acquired	<u>\$213,816</u>
Deposits	\$212,939
Advance payments by borrowers taxes and insurance	34
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	843
Total liabilities assumed	<u>\$213,816</u>

The Company is still in the process of determining the acquisition date fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the Downers Grove National Bank acquisition, and the amounts disclosed above are considered provisional amounts. During the measurement period, the Company will retrospectively adjust the provisional amounts recognized at the acquisition date to reflect new facts and information that existed at the acquisition date. The measurement period will end when the Company receives all of the information it is seeking about such facts and circumstances and the measurement period will not exceed one year from the acquisition date. Preliminary estimates of goodwill or bargain purchase gain were considered immaterial and thus were not recorded during the quarter ended June 30, 2011. Subsequent adjustments to the provisional amounts may be material.

BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)  
(unaudited)

**Note 8 – Acquisitions (continued)**

As noted above, the loans acquired in the Downers Grove National Bank transaction had a fair value of \$119.2 million. Included in this amount were \$15.8 million of purchased loans with evidence of deterioration of credit quality since origination and for which it was probable, at the time of the acquisition, that the Company would be unable to collect all contractually required payments receivable. The Company's evaluation of purchased loans with evidence of credit quality deterioration as of the acquisition date resulted in the recording of a nonaccretable difference of \$9.2 million, which is defined as the loan's contractually required payments receivable in excess of the amount of the cash flows that are expected to be collected. The Company considered factors such as payment history, collateral values and accrual status in determining whether there was evidence of deterioration of a purchased loan's credit quality at the acquisition date. As of June 30, 2011, the carrying amount of purchased loans with evidence of loan deterioration at the acquisition date was \$15.8 million, and the remaining nonaccretable difference was \$9.2 million. The foregoing data represents the Company's provisional estimates as the Company continues to evaluate purchase accounting during the measurement period.

The following table summarizes the unaudited pro forma financial results of operations as if the Company acquired DG Bancorp, Inc. on January 1, 2010:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net interest income <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 17,090	\$ 14,850	\$ 31,795	\$ 30,039
Net income loss <sup>(1)</sup>	1,026	(2,486)	(1,316)	(3,581)
Basic earnings (loss) per common share	\$ 0.05	\$ (0.13)	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.18)
Diluted earnings (loss) per common share	0.05	(0.13)	(0.07)	(0.18)

(1) Results for DG Bancorp, Inc. include net income or loss from operations for the respective periods

The Company completed an acquisition of a portfolio of \$152 million of performing Chicago area multi-family loans on March 11, 2011. The multi-family loans in this portfolio were originated by Citibank, N.A. and its predecessor by merger, Citibank, F.S.B. At the time of the acquisition, the portfolio consisted of 466 loans with an average loan balance of \$327,000. The loans were purchased at a discount that will be accreted into income on a level-yield basis over the remaining life of the loans. The Company conducted extensive due diligence on this transaction, resulting in the recognition of \$398,000 in transaction related expenses in 2011. The Company expects its acquisition of this loan portfolio to result in several benefits, including increased earnings and higher returns on stockholders' equity, more effective deployment of its excess liquidity and capital, improved utilization of existing organizational capacities and new opportunities to develop further business relationships with the borrowers of these loans.

**Note 9 – Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

FASB ASU 2010-20, "Receivable (Topic 310), Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses" — ASU 2010-20 requires new and enhanced disclosures about the credit quality of an entity's financing receivables and its allowance for credit losses. The new and enhanced disclosure requirements focus on such areas as nonaccrual and past due financing receivables, allowance for credit losses related to financing receivables, impaired loans, credit quality information and modifications. The ASU requires an entity to disaggregate new and existing disclosures based on how it develops its allowance for credit losses and how it manages credit exposures. For public entities, the disclosures as of the end of a reporting period are effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. The disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. See Note 4 to these Consolidated Financial Statements for the required disclosures at June 30, 2011.

BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(Table amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)  
(unaudited)

**Note 9 – Recent Accounting Pronouncements (continued)**

FASB ASU 2010-29, “Business Combinations (Topic 805), Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations” — ASU 2010-29 specifies that if a public entity presents comparative financial statements, the entity should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination(s) that occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. The amendments also expand the supplemental pro forma disclosures to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. ASU 2010-29 affects any public entity as defined by Topic 805 that enters into business combinations that are material on an individual or aggregate basis. This guidance is effective prospectively for business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period on or after December 15, 2010. See Note 8 – Acquisitions.

**Note 10 – Newly Issued But Not Yet Effective Accounting Pronouncements**

FASB ASU 2010-28, “Intangibles – Goodwill and Other (Topic 350), When to Perform Step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts” — ASU 2010-28 affects all entities that have recognized a goodwill asset and have one or more reporting units whose carrying amount for purposes of performing Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test is zero or negative. ASU 2010-28 modifies Step 1 so that for those reporting units, an entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test if it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists. In determining whether it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists, an entity should consider whether there are any adverse qualitative factors indicating that an impairment may exist. The qualitative factors are consistent with existing guidance, which requires that goodwill of a reporting unit be tested for impairment between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. For public entities, this guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2010. The guidance is effective for a public entity’s first annual period that ends on or after December 15, 2010. Adoption of ASU 2010-28 is not expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

FASB ASU 2011-2 *Troubled Debt Restructurings*. In April 2011, the FASB amended existing guidance and clarified when creditors such as banks should classify loan modifications as troubled debt restructurings. Banks will now need to consider all available evidence when evaluating whether a loan modification is a troubled debt restructuring. This new guidance could result in more loan modifications being classified as troubled debt restructurings, which could affect the allowance for loan losses and increase disclosures. This new guidance for identifying and disclosing troubled debt restructurings is effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after June 15, 2011 and applies retrospectively to troubled debt restructurings occurring after the beginning of the year. The Company is evaluating the impact that the new guidance may have on its financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

**Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information****Forward Looking Statements**

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including this Item 2, contains, and other periodic and special reports and press releases of BankFinancial Corporation may contain, forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, that involve significant risks and uncertainties. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and are including this statement for the purpose of invoking these safe harbor provisions. Forward-looking statements are based on certain assumptions or describe our future plans, strategies and expectations, and are generally identifiable by use of the words "believe," "expect," "intend," "anticipate," "estimate," "project," "plan," or similar expressions. Our ability to predict results or the actual effect of plans or strategies is inherently uncertain, and actual results may differ from those predicted. Factors that could have a material adverse effect on operations and could affect management's outlook or our future prospects include, but are not limited to: higher than expected overhead, infrastructure and compliance costs, changes in market interest rates, changes in the yield curve, balance sheet shrinkage or less than anticipated balance sheet growth, lack of demand for loan products, illiquidity and changes in financial markets, including the market for mortgage backed securities and other debt obligations, declining or weak demand for real estate and real estate valuations, increasing or high unemployment levels, deposit flows, pricing, underwriting and other forms of competition, adverse federal or state legislative or regulatory developments, monetary and fiscal policies of the U.S. Government, including policies of the U.S. Treasury and Federal Reserve Board, adverse economic conditions that could result in increased delinquencies in our loan portfolio or a decline in the value of our investment securities and the collateral for our loans, the quality or composition of our loan or investment portfolios, demand for financial services and multi-family, commercial and residential real estate loans in our market areas, the possible short-term dilutive effect of potential acquisitions or de novo branches, if any, changes in accounting principles, policies and guidelines, increased costs of federal deposit insurance, and future adverse developments concerning the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago. These risks and uncertainties should be considered in evaluating forward-looking statements and undue reliance should not be placed on such statements. We do not undertake any obligation to update any forward-looking statement in the future, or to reflect circumstances and events that occur after the date on which the forward-looking statement was made.

**Critical Accounting Policies**

Critical accounting policies are defined as those that are reflective of significant judgments and uncertainties, and could potentially result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. We believe that the critical accounting policies upon which our financial condition and results of operation depend, and which involve the most complex subjective decisions or assessments, are included in the discussion entitled "Critical Accounting Policies" in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, and all amendments thereto, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. There are no material changes to the critical accounting policies disclosed in the Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Overview**

During the second quarter of 2011, the recovery of the national economy appeared to stagnate, as did the local economy's participation in the recovery. The principal challenges in the local economy continue to be persistent unemployment and declining real estate values in the Chicago metropolitan area, with certain geographic sub-markets considerably more adversely affected than others. Pricing and underwriting for multi-family and commercial real estate loans came under modest pressure during the second quarter; nevertheless, the Company's multi-family loan portfolio increased and the non-residential real estate loan portfolio remained essentially constant. Pricing for commercial leases stabilized and the Company experienced modestly increased demand due to improved lessee capital investment activity and portfolio risk exposure management actions by certain market participants. The Company experienced slightly decreased demand for commercial loans due to fluctuations in line usage.

Demand for traditional adjustable-rate residential mortgage loans remained low due to an overwhelming market preference for fixed-rate residential mortgage loans given low market interest rates and the Company's underwriting standards.

Excluding the impact of the purchased impaired loans and OREO acquired in the acquisition of Downers Grove National Bank, the Company's overall loan portfolio quality improved modestly in the second quarter of 2011. The performing loan portfolios acquired in the Downers Grove National Bank transaction and in the Company's first quarter purchase of a portfolio of Chicago area multi-family loans from Citibank continued to perform well. The Company continues to experience isolated borrower defaults (particularly in the residential loan category) and certain borrowers seem reluctant or unable to permanently cure chronically past due payment habits; however, the Company is also experiencing a greater volume of fully-reinstated loans and scheduled recoveries to current payment status. The Company experienced increasing purchaser interest in its classified asset collateral and OREO inventory; the quantity of OREO under contract for sale on an orderly liquidation basis nearly tripled in the second quarter. The Company continues to believe that unemployment, consumer spending, borrower and investor perceptions of residential and commercial real estate valuations and the pace of judicial proceedings will be the primary factors affecting loan portfolio quality and classified asset resolutions in 2011.

The Company's general loan loss reserve requirement increased due to the mild degradation in national and local economic factors, an increase in loss ratios for non-owner occupied single family loans, and the differential between the estimated loan losses and the remaining purchase discount for the Downers Grove National Bank performing loan portfolio. Specific loan loss reserves increased due primarily to the receipt of updated appraisals on existing non-performing loans. The Company's underwriting standards remain consistent with its historical standards, although the Company's credit analyses continue to incorporate somewhat more conservative assumptions with respect to effective rents, expenses and occupancy levels given the current economic environment.

Deposits declined in the second quarter of 2011 due principally to the Company's reduced competitive posture with respect to certificate of deposit accounts. Pricing conditions for local deposits, whether low-balance core deposits, certificates of deposits or high-balance, high-yield transaction accounts, remained favorable during the first half of the year due to very low market yields and continued weak industry-wide loan demand. There are emerging signs that a few competitors may seek to gain market share in high-yield transaction accounts, but there currently does not appear to be any sustained effort by any competitors to do so. In addition, many competitors are still evaluating their deposit product configurations in the context of the Dodd-Frank Act and its related regulations; we expect we will adjust our deposit product offerings to explore such competitive advantages as may emerge in this new regulatory and competitive environment.

The Company's net interest spread and net interest margin increased in the second quarter of 2011 due to the combined effects of material increases in the loan portfolio and a decline in the cost of funds. Given the quantity and volatility of the variables affecting our net interest margin and net interest spread, the Company is unable to confidently predict what its net interest margin and net interest spread will be for the remainder of 2011; however, the Company expects its recent acquisitions to continue to make immediate positive contributions to its net interest spread and net interest margin.

Non-interest income increased modestly in second quarter of 2011 due to a slight increase in deposit-related fee income and the favorable impact of the Downers Grove National Bank Trust Department fee income. At present, the Company does not expect to record either a material amount of bargain purchase gain or a material amount of goodwill from the Downers Grove National Bank transaction. We continue to evaluate the expansion of non-interest income sources, particularly related to insurance and trust services, to offset any potential future adverse derivative impact from the Dodd-Frank Act.

Non-interest expense increased in the second quarter of 2011 due principally to the Downers Grove National Bank transitional staffing and conversion expenses and non-performing assets management expenses relating to real estate tax expense accruals and final disposition write-downs relating to OREO. We concluded the Downers Grove National Bank systems conversion in June, 2011, and as expected, the residual transition staffing expenses fully abated immediately after the end of the second quarter. We will resume our review of certain departments and operations for net operating contributions and further operating efficiencies throughout the remainder of 2011.



## Selected Financial Data

The following tables summarize the major components of the changes in our balance sheet at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, and in our statement of operations for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010.

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	Change
	(Dollars in thousands)		
<b>Selected Financial Condition Data:</b>			
Total assets	\$ 1,662,888	\$ 1,530,655	\$ 132,233
Cash and cash equivalents	114,482	220,810	(106,328)
Securities	95,546	120,747	(25,201)
Loans receivable, net	1,291,399	1,050,766	240,633
Deposits	1,378,331	1,235,377	142,954
Borrowings	12,595	23,749	(11,154)
Stockholders' equity	250,378	253,285	(2,907)

	Three months ended June 30,			Six months ended June 30,		
	2011	2010	Change	2011	2010	Change
	(Dollars in thousands)					

### Selected Operating Data:

Interest income	\$19,000	\$16,451	\$ 2,549	\$34,348	\$33,596	\$ 752
Interest expense	1,910	3,677	(1,767)	3,906	7,606	(3,700)
Net interest income	17,090	12,774	4,316	30,442	25,990	4,452
Provision for loan losses	3,175	2,665	510	5,599	3,516	2,083
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	13,915	10,109	3,806	24,843	22,474	2,369
Noninterest income	1,879	1,812	67	3,450	3,267	183
Noninterest expense	14,623	12,370	2,253	28,878	25,048	3,830
Income (loss) before income taxes	1,171	(449)	1,620	(585)	693	(1,278)
Income tax expense (benefit)	145	(161)	306	(834)	265	(1,099)
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ 1,026</u>	<u>\$ (288)</u>	<u>\$ 1,314</u>	<u>\$ 249</u>	<u>\$ 428</u>	<u>\$ (179)</u>

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010

### Performance Ratios:

Return on assets (ratio of net income (loss) to average total assets) (1)	0.24%	(0.07)%	0.03%	0.05%
Return on equity (ratio of net income (loss) to average equity) (1)	1.66	(0.44)	0.20	0.32
Net interest rate spread (1) (2)	4.27	3.30	3.99	3.39
Net interest margin (1) (3)	4.38	3.53	4.11	3.63
Average equity to average assets	14.65	16.78	15.49	16.86
Efficiency ratio (4)	77.09	84.81	85.21	85.61
Noninterest expense to average total assets (1)	3.47	3.14	3.59	3.20
Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities	122.55	122.10	122.67	122.33

(1) Ratios are annualized.

(2) The net interest rate spread represents the difference between the yield on average interest-earning assets and the cost of average interest-bearing liabilities for the period.

(3) The net interest margin represents net interest income divided by average total interest-earning assets for the period.

(4) The efficiency ratio represents noninterest expense divided by the sum of net interest income and noninterest income.

**Selected Financial Data (continued)**

	At June 30, 2011	At December 31, 2010
<b>Selected Financial Ratios and Other Data:</b>		
<b>Asset Quality Ratios:</b>		
Nonperforming assets to total assets	5.32%	3.94%
Nonperforming assets to total assets (excluding purchased impaired loans and purchased OREO)	3.71%	—
Nonaccrual loans to total loans	4.67	4.26
Nonaccrual loans to total loans (excluding purchased impaired loans)	3.19	—
Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans	37.43	48.54
Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans (excluding purchased impaired loans)	54.83	—
Allowance for loan losses to total loans	1.75	2.07
<b>Capital Ratios:</b>		
Equity to total assets at end of period	16.57	16.55
Tier 1 leverage ratio (Bank only)	11.28	12.48
<b>Other Data:</b>		
Number of full service offices	20	18
Employees (full-time equivalent basis)	373	328

**Comparison of Financial Condition at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010**

Total assets increased \$132.2 million, or 8.6%, to \$1.663 billion at June 30, 2011, from \$1.531 billion at December 31, 2010, primarily due to our acquisition of Downers Grove National Bank.

Net loans receivable increased \$240.6 million, or 22.9%, to \$1.291 billion at June 30, 2011, from \$1.051 billion at December 31, 2010. The increase was due in substantial part to our purchase of a portfolio of performing Chicago area multi-family mortgage loans from Citibank and our acquisition of Downers Grove National Bank, each of which closed in March 2011. The increase in net loans receivable reflected net increases of \$34.8 million in one-to-four family residential mortgage loans, \$150.2 million in multi-family mortgage loans, \$46.1 million in nonresidential real estate loans, \$5.9 million in construction and land loans, \$8.9 million in commercial loans and \$927,000 in consumer loans.

One-to-four family residential mortgage loans increased \$34.8 million, or 13.6%, to \$291.1 million at June 30, 2011, from \$256.3 million at December 31, 2010, due primarily to the \$47.4 million in one-to-four family residential mortgage loans that were acquired from the Downers Grove National Bank. Multi-family mortgage loans increased \$150.2 million, or 50.6%, to \$447.2 million at June 30, 2011, from \$296.9 million at December 31, 2010, due primarily to the \$152.1 million in multi-family mortgage loans that were acquired from Citibank. Nonresidential real estate loans increased \$46.1 million, or 16.4%, to \$328.1 million at June 30, 2011, from \$282.0 million at December 31, 2010, due primarily to the \$44.2 million in nonresidential real estate loans that were acquired from Downers Grove National Bank. Construction and land loans increased \$5.9 million, or 32.3%, to \$24.3 million at June 30, 2011, from \$18.4 million at December 31, 2010, due primarily to the \$16.8 million in construction and land loans that were acquired from Downers Grove National Bank. Commercial loans increased by \$8.9 million, or 13.8%, to \$73.6 million at June 30, 2011, from \$64.7 million at December 31, 2010, due primarily to the \$10.9 million in commercial loans that were acquired from Downers Grove National Bank. Commercial leases decreased \$5.2 million, or 3.5%, to \$145.9 million at June 30, 2011, from \$151.1 million at December 31, 2010, due to lease payments' slightly outpacing originations. Consumer loans increased \$927,000, or 42.5%.

Securities decreased by \$25.2 million, or 20.9%, to \$95.5 million at June 30, 2011, from \$120.7 million at December 31, 2010, due primarily to the receipt of principal repayments in the amount of \$18.6 million in our residential collateralized mortgage obligation portfolio.

We owned \$16.3 million of common stock of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago (“FHLBC”) at June 30, 2011, compared to \$15.6 million at December 31, 2010. The increase was due to the \$748,000 in FHLBC stock acquired from the Downers Grove National Bank.

Cash and cash equivalents decreased \$106.3 million, or 48.2%, to \$114.5 million at June 30, 2011, from \$220.8 million at December 31, 2010, primarily due to the \$149.4 million in cash consideration that we paid to purchase the Citibank multi-family loan portfolio, offset by \$61.6 of cash and cash equivalents acquired from Downers Grove National Bank.

Deposits increased \$143.0 million, or 11.6%, to \$1.378 billion at June 30, 2011, from \$1.235 billion at December 31, 2010. The increase in deposits was primarily due to the deposits acquired in the acquisition of Downers Grove National Bank. At the closing of the acquisition in March of 2011, Downers Grove National Bank had \$36.1 million in noninterest-bearing demand deposit accounts, \$39.3 million in savings accounts, \$17.3 million in money market accounts, \$31.7 million in interest-bearing NOW accounts, and \$86.1 million of certificates of deposits.

Noninterest-bearing demand deposits increased \$26.3 million, or 23.3% to \$138.8 million at June 30, 2011, from \$112.5 million at December 31, 2010. Savings accounts increased \$45.0 million, or 45.5%, to \$143.9 million at June 30, 2011, from \$98.9 million at December 31, 2010. Money market accounts increased \$13.8 million, or 4.1%, to \$354.9 million at June 30, 2011, from \$341.0 million at December 31, 2010. Interest-bearing NOW accounts increased \$21.2 million, or 7.0%, to \$324.0 million at June 30, 2011, from \$302.8 million at December 31, 2010. Total core deposits (savings, money market, noninterest-bearing demand and interest-bearing NOW accounts) increased as a percentage of total deposits, representing 69.8% of total deposits at June 30, 2011, compared to 69.2% of total deposits at December 31, 2010.

Certificates of deposit increased \$36.7 million, or 9.7%, to \$416.8 million at June 30, 2011, from \$380.1 million at December 31, 2010. The \$86.1 million increase in certificate of deposit accounts resulting from the acquisition of Downers Grove National Bank was offset by a \$40.7 million decrease in the balances of certificate of deposits accounts held as of December 31, 2010 due to the Company’s reduced competitive pricing position in anticipation of additional excess liquidity resulting from the Downers Grove National Bank acquisition. Of the \$40.7 million decrease in certificate of deposit accounts, \$5.0 million were wholesale certificates of deposit accounts.

Borrowings decreased \$11.2 million, or 47.0%, to \$12.6 million at June 30, 2011, from \$23.7 million at December 31, 2010, due to our continued reductions of outstanding FHLBC advances.

Total stockholders’ equity was \$250.4 million at June 30, 2011, compared to \$253.3 million at December 31, 2010. The decrease in total stockholders’ equity was primarily due to the combined impact of our declaration and payment of cash dividends totaling \$3.0 million, and a \$649,000 decrease in accumulated other comprehensive income during that period, which was partially offset by net income of \$249,000. The unallocated shares of common stock that our ESOP owns were reflected as a \$13.7 million reduction to stockholders’ equity at June 30, 2011, compared to a \$14.2 million reduction to stockholders’ equity at December 31, 2010.

#### **Comparison of Operating Results for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010**

**Net Income (Loss).** We had net income of \$1.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to a net loss of \$288,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Our earnings per share of common stock for the three months ended June 30, 2011 were \$0.05 per basic and fully diluted share, respectively, compared to a loss of \$0.01 per basic and fully diluted share, respectively, for the three-month period ending June 30, 2010.

**Net Interest Income.** Net interest income increased by \$4.3 million, or 33.8%, to \$17.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from \$12.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The increase reflected a \$2.5 million increase in interest income and a \$1.8 million decrease in interest expense. Our net interest rate spread increased by 97 basis points to 4.27% for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from 3.30% for the same period in 2010. Our net interest margin increased by 85 basis points to 4.38% for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from 3.53% for the same period in 2010.

Interest income increased \$2.5 million, or 15.5%, to \$19.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from \$16.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The increase in interest income was primarily attributable to an increase in average interest-earning assets and the impact of a higher average yield on interest-earning assets. The average yield on interest-earning assets increased 33 basis points to 4.87% for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to 4.54% for the same period in 2010. Total average interest-earning assets increased \$111.4 million, or 7.7%, to \$1.564 billion for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from \$1.453 billion for the same period in 2010. The increase in average interest-earning assets was due in substantial part to a \$180.3 million, or 15.6%, increase in average loans receivable, and a net increase of \$24.6 million, or 28.0%, in the average balance of securities, partially offset by a net decrease of \$94.5 million, or 48.4%, in the average balance of interest-bearing deposits.

Interest income from loans, the most significant portion of interest income, increased \$2.7 million, or 17.7%, to \$18.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from \$15.4 million for the same period in 2010. The increase in interest income from loans resulted primarily from an increase in average loans receivable to \$1.334 billion for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from \$1.154 billion for the same period in 2010, and a 10 basis point increase in the average yield on loans to 5.46% for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from 5.36% for the same period in 2010.

Interest income from securities decreased by \$142,000, or 15.6%, to \$768,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from \$910,000 for the same period in 2010. The decrease in interest income from securities was primarily due to a 142 basis point decrease in the average yield on securities to 2.73% for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from 4.15% for the same period in 2010. The decrease in the average yield of securities was partially offset by an increase of \$24.6 million, or 28.0%, in the average outstanding balance of securities to \$112.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from \$88.0 million for the same period in 2010.

Interest income on interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions decreased by \$49,000, or 40.2%, to \$73,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from \$122,000 for the same period in 2010. The decrease in interest income from interest-bearing deposits was primarily due to a decrease of \$94.5 million, or 48.4%, in the average outstanding balance of interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions to \$100.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from \$195.3 million for the same period in 2010, which partially offset by a four basis point increase in the average yield on interest-bearing deposits to 0.29% for the three months ended June 30, 2011 from 0.25% for the same period in 2010.

The FHLBC paid a dividend of \$4,000 on its common stock in the second quarter of 2011; no dividend was paid in the second quarter of 2010.

Interest expense decreased \$1.8 million, or 48.1%, to \$1.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from \$3.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The decrease in interest expense was due to a decrease in the weighted average interest rates that we paid on deposit accounts and on borrowings, partially offset by an increase in the balance of our average interest-bearing liabilities. The cost of our average interest-bearing liabilities decreased by 64 basis points to 0.60% for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from 1.24% for the same period in 2010. Our average interest-bearing liabilities increased \$86.5 million, to \$1.276 billion for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from \$1.190 billion for the same period in 2010.

Interest expense on deposits decreased \$1.6 million, or 46.2%, to \$1.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from \$3.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The decrease in interest expense on deposits reflected a 61 basis point decrease in the average rate paid on interest-bearing deposits to 0.59% for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from 1.20% for same period in 2010. The decrease in the average rate paid on interest-bearing deposits was partially offset by a \$116.0 million, or 10.1%, increase in average interest-bearing deposits to \$1.263 billion for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from \$1.147 billion for the same period in 2010.

Interest expense on money market accounts decreased \$562,000, or 57.5%, to \$415,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from \$977,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The decrease in interest expense on money market accounts reflected a 66 basis point decrease in the interest rate paid on these deposits to 0.47% for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from 1.13% for the same period in 2010, partially offset by a \$5.9 million, or 1.7%, increase in the average balance of money market accounts to \$354.0 million for the three months ended June 30,

2011, from \$348.2 million for the same period in 2010.

Interest expense on interest-bearing NOW account deposits decreased \$298,000, or 66.8%, to \$148,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from \$446,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The decrease in interest expense on interest-bearing NOW accounts reflected a 43 basis point decrease in the interest rates paid on interest-bearing NOW account deposits to 0.18% for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from 0.61% for the same period in 2010, partially offset by a increase of \$36.9 million, or 12.6%, in the average balance of interest-bearing NOW account deposits to \$329.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from \$292.6 million for the same period in 2010.

Interest expense on certificates of deposit decreased \$664,000, or 35.2%, to \$1.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from \$1.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The decrease in interest expense on certificates of deposit was due to a 73 basis point decrease in the interest rates paid on certificates of deposit to 1.13% for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from 1.86% for the same period in 2010, partially offset by a increase of \$27.9 million, or 6.9%, in the average balance of certificates of deposit to \$434.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from \$407.0 million for the same period in 2010.

Interest expense on borrowings decreased \$182,000, or 74.9%, to \$61,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from \$243,000 for the same period in 2010. The decrease in interest expense on borrowings was due to a \$29.5 million, or 68.6%, decrease of our average borrowings to \$13.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from \$43.0 million for the same period in 2010, and a 46 basis point decrease in interest rates paid on borrowings to 1.81% for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from 2.27% for the same period in 2010.

## Average Balance Sheets

The following table sets forth average balance sheets, average yields and costs, and certain other information for the periods indicated. No tax-equivalent yield adjustments were made, as the effect of these adjustments would not be material. Average balances are daily average balances. Nonaccrual loans have been included in the computation of average balances, but have been reflected in the table as loans carrying a zero yield. The yields set forth below include, where applicable, the effect of deferred fees and expenses, discounts and premiums, and purchase accounting adjustments that are amortized or accreted to interest income or expense.

	For the three months ended June 30,					
	2011			2010		
	Average Outstanding Balance	Interest	Yield/Rate (1)	Average Outstanding Balance	Interest	Yield/Rate (1)
(Dollars in thousands)						
<b>Interest-earning Assets:</b>						
Loans	\$ 1,334,239	\$ 18,155	5.46%	\$ 1,153,960	\$ 15,419	5.36%
Securities	112,636	768	2.73	88,017	910	4.15
Stock in FHLB	16,562	4	0.10	15,598	-	-
Other	100,807	73	0.29	195,260	122	0.25
Total interest-earning assets	1,564,244	19,000	4.87	1,452,835	16,451	4.54
Noninterest-earning assets	119,169			121,178		
Total assets	<u>\$ 1,683,413</u>			<u>\$ 1,574,013</u>		
<b>Interest-bearing Liabilities:</b>						
Savings deposits	\$ 144,519	62	0.17	\$ 99,151	123	0.50
Money market accounts	354,030	415	0.47	348,153	977	1.13
Interest-bearing NOW accounts	329,482	148	0.18	292,589	446	0.61
Certificates of deposit	434,852	1,224	1.13	406,976	1,888	1.86
Total deposits	1,262,883	1,849	0.59	1,146,869	3,434	1.20
Borrowings	13,507	61	1.81	42,978	243	2.27
Total interest-bearing liabilities	1,276,390	1,910	0.60	1,189,847	3,677	1.24
Noninterest-bearing deposits	141,185			102,997		
Noninterest-bearing liabilities	19,238			17,126		
Total liabilities	1,436,813			1,309,970		
Equity	246,600			264,043		
Total liabilities and equity	<u>\$ 1,683,413</u>			<u>\$ 1,574,013</u>		
Net interest income		<u>\$ 17,090</u>			<u>\$ 12,774</u>	
Net interest rate spread (2)			4.27%			3.30%
Net interest-earning assets (3)	<u>\$ 287,854</u>			<u>\$ 262,988</u>		
Net interest margin (4)			4.38%			3.53%
Ratio of interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities		122.55%			122.10%	

(1) Annualized.

(2) Net interest rate spread represents the difference between the yield on average interest-earning assets and the cost of average interest-bearing liabilities.

(3) Net interest-earning assets represents total interest-earning assets less total interest-bearing liabilities.

(4) Net interest margin represents net interest income divided by average total interest-earning assets.

**Provision for Loan Losses.** We establish provisions for loan losses, which are charged to operations to maintain the allowance for loan losses to absorb probable incurred credit losses in the loan portfolio. In determining the level of the allowance for loan losses, we consider past and current loss experience, evaluations of real estate collateral, current economic conditions, volume and type of lending, adverse situations that may affect a borrower's ability to repay a loan and the levels of nonaccrual and other classified loans. The amount of the allowance is based on estimates and the ultimate losses may vary from such estimates as more information becomes available or later events change. We assess the allowance for loan losses on a quarterly basis and make provisions for loan losses in order to maintain the allowance.

Based on our evaluation of the above factors, we recorded a provision for loan losses of \$3.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to a provision for loan losses of \$2.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Of the \$3.2 million total provision for loan losses, the general valuation allowance increased by \$1.2 million and the remaining \$2.0 million represents net charge-off activity and specific valuation allowances.

The general valuation allowance increased \$1.2 million primarily due to a mild deterioration in national and local economic factors, an initial allowance for the Downers Grove National Bank performing loan portfolio and an increase in the historical loss ratio for non-owner-occupied one-to-four family loans. In general, all other historical loss ratios were stable.

Net charge-offs of \$2.7 million were offset by a decrease of \$735,000 of specific valuation allowances allocated to impaired loans. The \$735,000 decrease in specific valuation allowances allocated to impaired loans reflected an increase of \$1.6 million from updated collateral valuations on real estate collateral, net of \$2.3 million of charge offs related to properties transferred to OREO in the second quarter of 2011. Of the total charge-offs, \$1.3 million related to the final disposition of a single non-owner-occupied single family residential property and \$793,000 related to a TDR commenced in the first quarter of 2011 involving multiple loans to certain borrowers and a partnership in which they are members that are secured by non-owner-occupied single-family residential rental properties. The remaining amounts involved a variety of smaller impaired loans for which final resolution was reached in the second quarter of 2011.

### **Nonperforming Loans and Assets**

The Company reviews loans on a regular basis, and generally places loans on nonaccrual status when either principal or interest is 90 days or more past due. In addition, the Company places loans on nonaccrual status when we do not expect to receive full payment of interest or principal. Interest accrued and unpaid at the time a loan is placed on nonaccrual status is reversed from interest income. Interest payments received on nonaccrual loans are recognized in accordance with our significant accounting policies. Once a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, the borrower must generally demonstrate at least six months of payment performance before the loan is eligible to return to accrual status. We may have loans classified as 90 days or more delinquent and still accruing. Generally, we do not utilize this category of loan classification unless: (1) the loan is repaid in full shortly after the period end date; (2) the loan is well secured and there are no asserted or pending legal barriers to its collection; or (3) the borrower has remitted all scheduled payments and is otherwise in substantial compliance with the terms of the loan, but the processing of loan payments actually received or the renewal of the loan has not occurred for administrative reasons. At June 30, 2011, we had several loans to a single borrower totaling \$592,000 in this category. The borrower is in compliance with an informal forbearance agreement that was put into place pending the borrower's anticipated final resolution of other matters affecting the borrower's cash flows.

We typically obtain new third-party appraisals or collateral valuations when we place a loan on nonaccrual status, conduct impairment testing or conduct a TDR unless the existing valuation information for the collateral is sufficiently current to comply with the requirements of our Appraisal and Collateral Valuation Policy ("ACV Policy"). We also obtain new third-party appraisals or collateral valuations when the judicial foreclosure process concludes with respect to real estate collateral, and when we otherwise acquire actual or constructive title to real estate collateral. In addition to third-party appraisals, we use updated valuation information based on Multiple Listing Service data, broker opinions of value, actual sales prices of similar assets sold by us and approved sales prices in response to offers to purchase similar assets owned by us to provide interim valuation information for financial statement and management purposes. Our ACV Policy establishes the maximum useful life of a real estate appraisal at 18 months. Because appraisals and updated valuations utilize historical or "ask-side" data in reaching

valuation conclusions, the appraised or updated valuation may or may not reflect the actual sales price that we will receive at the time of sale.

Real estate appraisals may include up to three approaches to value: the sales comparison approach, the income approach (for income-producing property) and the cost approach. Not all appraisals utilize all three approaches. Depending on the nature of the collateral and market conditions, we may emphasize one approach over another in determining the fair value of real estate collateral. Appraisals may also contain different estimates of value based on the level of occupancy or planned future improvements. "As-is" valuations represent an estimate of value based on current market conditions with no changes to the use or condition of the real estate collateral. "As-stabilized" or "as-completed" valuations assume the real estate collateral will be improved to a stated standard or achieve its highest and best use in terms of occupancy. "As-stabilized" or "as-completed" valuations may be subject to a present value adjustment for market conditions or the schedule of improvements.

As part of the asset classification process, we develop an exit strategy for real estate collateral or OREO by assessing overall market conditions, the current use and condition of the asset, and its highest and best use. For most income-producing real estate, we believe that investors value most highly a stable income stream from the asset; consequently, we perform a comparative evaluation to determine whether conducting a sale on an "as-is", "as-stabilized" or "as-improved" basis is most likely to produce the highest net realizable value. If we determine that the "as-stabilized" or "as-improved" basis is appropriate, we then complete the necessary improvements or tenant stabilization tasks, with the applicable time value discount and improvement expenses incorporated into our estimates of the expected costs to sell. As of June 30, 2011, substantially all impaired real estate loan collateral and OREO are valued on an "as-is basis."

Estimates of the net realizable value of real estate collateral also include a deduction for the expected costs to sell the collateral or such other deductions from the cash flows resulting from the operation and liquidation of the asset as are appropriate. For most real estate collateral subject to the judicial foreclosure process, we generally apply a 10.0% deduction to the value of the asset to determine the expected costs to sell the asset. This estimate includes one year of real estate taxes, sales commissions and miscellaneous repair and closing costs. If we receive a purchase offer that requires unbudgeted repairs, or if the expected resolution period for the asset exceeds one year, we then include, on a case-by-case basis, the costs of the additional real estate taxes and repairs and any other material holding costs in the expected costs to sell the collateral. For OREO, we generally apply a 5.0% deduction to determine the expected costs to sell, as costs for real estate taxes and repairs are expensed when incurred.



## Nonperforming Assets Summary

The following table below sets forth the amounts and categories of our nonperforming loans and nonperforming assets, excluding purchased impaired loans, at the dates indicated.

	June 30, 2011	March 31, 2011	Change
	(Dollars in thousands)		
<b>Nonaccrual loans</b>			
One-to-four family residential real estate loans	\$ 7,485	\$ 6,366	\$ 1,119
One-to-four family residential real estate loans – non owner occupied	5,178	4,283	895
Multi-family mortgage loans	7,955	8,758	(803)
Wholesale commercial lending	4,405	4,405	–
Nonresidential real estate loans	12,393	12,830	(437)
Construction loans	121	3,274	(3,153)
Land loans	383	2,057	(1,674)
Commercial loans – secured	3,632	3,511	121
Commercial loans – unsecured	158	158	–
Commercial loans – other	96	96	–
Non-rated commercial leases	72	72	–
Consumer loans	–	–	–
	<u>41,878</u>	<u>45,810</u>	<u>(3,932)</u>
<b>Nonaccrual loans</b>			
<b>Other real estate owned and in process</b>			
One-to-four family residential real estate	5,659	3,053	2,606
Multi-family mortgage	3,987	2,794	1,193
Nonresidential real estate loans	6,896	7,150	(254)
Land	3,218	2,174	1,044
	<u>19,760</u>	<u>15,171</u>	<u>4,589</u>
<b>Other real estate owned and in process – excluding purchased OREO</b>			
Purchased other real estate owned	7,272	7,542	(270)
	<u>27,032</u>	<u>22,713</u>	<u>4,319</u>
<b>Other real estate owned and in process</b>			
	<u>27,032</u>	<u>22,713</u>	<u>4,319</u>
<b>Nonperforming assets</b>	<u>\$ 68,910</u>	<u>\$ 68,523</u>	<u>\$ 387</u>

## Loans on Nonaccrual Status

At June 30, 2011, nonaccrual loans decreased on a net basis due to a number of resolved loans and transfers of loans to OREO status. At June 30, 2011, the Company's nonperforming loans consisted of 123 borrower relationships, with an average loan of \$340,000 per borrower. Therefore, there are no specific concentrations of credit risk such that a majority of loans involves only a few borrower relationships. Our two largest nonperforming borrower relationships represent approximately 24% of total nonperforming loans. These loans are briefly described as follows:

- We have a \$5.8 million nonperforming loan that is secured by a retail shopping center located in our primary Chicago metropolitan market. As of June 30, 2011, this loan was on nonaccrual status and had a \$781,000 specific valuation allowance that was based on an updated "as improved" third party appraisal. The shopping center is 92% occupied by businesses that are affiliated with investors in the entity that owns the shopping center. The loan is further secured by additional collateral pledged by the investors, and is supported by the personal guarantees of the investors. The business operations of the existing tenants of the shopping center, together with the supplementary personal resources of the guarantors, were not

sufficient to comply with the planned payment schedule of principal and interest. Accordingly, pursuant to applicable regulatory guidance, future renewals of the loan will remain classified as a TDR, and the loan will remain on nonaccrual status until the borrower's business operations demonstrate the capability to sustain at least six months of debt service on a fully amortizing basis.

- We have a \$4.4 million nonperforming loan that is secured by a 242-unit multi-family residential building located outside of our primary Chicago metropolitan area market. This loan is included in the Wholesale Commercial Lending loan class for allowance purposes. As of June 30, 2011, this loan was on nonaccrual status and had a \$1.5 million specific valuation allowance that was based on an updated "as improved" third-party appraisal and a recently-approved budget for capital expenditures for the property.

#### Other Real Estate Owned and In Process

Real estate that is acquired through foreclosure or a deed in lieu of foreclosure is classified as OREO or OREO in process until it is sold. When real estate is acquired through foreclosure or by deed in lieu of foreclosure, it is recorded at its fair value, less the estimated costs of disposal as discussed above. If the fair value of the property is less than the loan balance, the difference is charged against the allowance for loan losses.

	Balance at March 31, 2011	Additions	Acquired other real estate owned activity, net	Write-downs	Sale	Balance at June 30, 2011
	(Dollars in thousands)					
One-to-four family residential	\$ 3,210	\$ 3,132	\$ 170	\$ (45)	\$ (481)	\$ 5,986
Multi-family mortgage	2,794	1,532	-	-	(339)	3,987
Nonresidential real estate	9,117	-	1,039	(254)	-	9,902
Land	7,592	1,044	(1,479)	-	-	7,157
Total other real estate owned and in process	<u>\$ 22,713</u>	<u>\$ 5,708</u>	<u>\$ (270)</u>	<u>\$ (299)</u>	<u>\$ (820)</u>	<u>\$ 27,032</u>

OREO and OREO in process increased \$4.3 million in the second quarter. The most significant additions to OREO is as follows:

- We had a \$4.4 million credit exposure to a single borrower secured by a completed single-family residence that the borrower constructed for the purposes of sale, a parcel of vacant land, and the borrower's personal residence. All of the real estate collateral is located in our primary Chicago metropolitan market. In July, 2011, we sold the completed single-family residence in an arms-length orderly liquidation for \$2.0 million, representing 90% of the listing price. The commissions, sale proration and closing expenses for the sale totaled \$176,000. OREO in process at June 30, 2011 included the single family residence at a fair value of \$1.8 million and the parcel of vacant land at a fair value of \$540,000. At June 30, 2010, we charged off \$1.3 million on this credit exposure, of which \$900,000 had been reserved in prior periods, to reflect the final disposition of the completed single family residence and to reduce the fair value of the parcel of vacant land to \$540,000.

As discussed above, the appraised value of real estate assets may or may not reflect the actual sales price that will be received upon disposition. We market real estate for sale based on an estimate of its net realizable value. Depending on the levels of market interest received during the initial period of market exposure, we may reduce the offering price in subsequent periods; if we do so, the new offering price becomes the new net realizable value. We may also accept an offer to purchase a given real estate asset at a price below the net realizable value if there has been limited interest at the original offering price and we conclude that further market exposure time (even at a price lower than the current offering price but higher than the proposed actual sales price) will not produce materially better results given the holding costs and management risks incurred over time.

## Troubled Debt Restructurings

The following table sets forth troubled debt restructurings by loan category:

	June 30, 2011	March 31, 2011	Change
	(dollars in thousands)		
One-to-four family residential real estate – non-owner occupied	\$ 3,449	\$ 4,200	\$ (751)
Multi-family mortgage	2,791	1,667	1,124
Nonresidential real estate	2,784	1,693	1,091
	<u>9,024</u>	<u>7,560</u>	<u>1,464</u>
Troubled debt restructured loans – accrual loans			
One-to-four family residential real estate – non-owner occupied	793	–	793
Multi-family mortgage	10	11	(1)
Nonresidential real estate	2,026	3,137	(1,111)
Commercial loans – secured	73	–	73
	<u>2,902</u>	<u>3,148</u>	<u>(246)</u>
Troubled debt restructured loans – nonaccrual loans			
Total troubled debt restructured loans	<u>\$ 11,926</u>	<u>\$ 10,708</u>	<u>\$ 1,218</u>

TDR loans increased \$1.2 million during the second quarter of 2011. Total loans classified as TDRs were 0.91% of total loans at June 30, 2011, and 0.81% of total loans at March 31, 2011.

During the second quarter of 2011, we worked to finalize a restructuring of \$5.7 million in loans that we made to two family-related borrowers and a partnership in which they are members. The loans are secured by non-owner occupied one-to-four family rental properties located in our primary Chicago metropolitan market. At March 31, 2011, we classified the loans as a TDR and recorded a \$1.2 million charge-off against the original credit exposure based on the terms of a restructuring that we proposed to the borrowers after the partnership filed a Chapter 11 bankruptcy case early in the second quarter of 2011. The proposed restructuring involved a division of assets between the individual borrowers and a segregation of the new total loan amount into a conforming “A” fully amortizing note for \$3.4 million and a “B” fully amortizing note for an original balance of \$800,000. A cash collateral order was entered in the bankruptcy case requiring the borrowers to pay us rental income from the properties in an amount sufficient to service the proposed “A” and “B” notes, and to continue to fund real estate tax escrows that had previously been established for the loans. At June 30, 2011, all of the loan and escrow payments that were required by the cash collateral order were current. Nonetheless, we elected to charge-off the \$793,000 attributable to the proposed “B” note at June 30, 2011 because we received indications that the borrowers believed that they would be unable to finalize the restructuring on the originally proposed terms. We are currently working with the borrowers to determine whether a restructuring of the loans can be accomplished on mutually acceptable terms, or whether an orderly liquidation of the properties conducted with their cooperation should be pursued.

Of the \$11.9 million in loans that were classified as TDRs at June 30, 2011, 76% were on accrual status and continued to perform according to the terms of the applicable loan agreements. Of the \$10.7 million in loans that were classified as TDRs at March 31, 2011, 71% remained on accrual status as of that date.

The following table summarizes noninterest income for three-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

	Three months ended June 30,			Change
	2011	2010		
(Dollars in thousands)				
<b>Noninterest income:</b>				
Deposit service charges and fees	\$ 691	\$ 792	\$ (101)	
Other fee income	413	500	(87)	
Insurance commissions and annuities income	155	179	(24)	
Gain on sale of loans, net	39	68	(29)	
Gain on sale of securities	-	31	(31)	
Loss on disposition of premises and equipment, net	(10)	(17)	7	
Loan servicing fees	137	154	(17)	
Amortization and impairment of servicing assets	(51)	(78)	27	
Earnings on bank owned life insurance	162	92	70	
Trust income	216	12	204	
Other	127	79	48	
<b>Total noninterest income</b>	<b>\$ 1,879</b>	<b>\$ 1,812</b>	<b>\$ 67</b>	

**Noninterest Income.** Noninterest income increased \$67,000, or 3.7%, to \$1.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from \$1.8 million for the same period in 2010. Deposit service charges and fees decreased \$101,000, or 12.8%, to \$691,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from \$792,000 for the same period in 2010. Other fee income decreased \$87,000, or 17.4%, to \$413,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$500,000 for the same period in 2010. Income from insurance commissions and annuities decreased \$24,000, or 13.4%, to \$155,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from \$179,000 for the same period in 2010. Gains on sales of loans were \$39,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$68,000 for the same period in 2010, due to a decrease in the volume of loan sales. Loan servicing income decreased \$17,000, or 11.0%, to \$137,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from \$154,000 for the same period in 2010. In the second quarter 2010, we recorded a recovery of our mortgage servicing rights portfolio of \$233,000 due to the combined effects of market interest rates and their concomitant effect on prepayment speeds during the quarter compared to no reserve for the same period in 2011. Earnings on bank-owned life insurance were \$162,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$92,000 for the same period in 2010. Trust department income increased \$204,000 to \$216,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$12,000 for the same period in 2010, due to the acquisition of Downers Grove National Bank on March 18, 2011. Other income increased \$48,000 to \$127,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from \$79,000 for the same period in 2010.

The following table summarizes noninterest expense for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

	Three months ended June 30,		Change
	2011	2010	
(Dollars in thousands)			
<b>Noninterest Expense:</b>			
Compensation and benefits	\$ 7,120	\$ 6,552	\$ 568
Office occupancy and equipment	1,736	1,609	127
Advertising and public relations	260	303	(43)
Information technology	1,091	961	130
Supplies, telephone and postage	439	406	33
Amortization of intangibles	470	399	71
Nonperforming asset management	1,279	355	924
Gain on sale of other real estate owned	(57)	107	(164)
Operations of other real estate owned	912	393	519
FDIC insurance premiums	186	532	(346)
Acquisition expenses	240	-	240
Other	947	753	194
<b>Total noninterest expense</b>	<b>\$ 14,623</b>	<b>\$ 12,370</b>	<b>\$ 2,253</b>

**Noninterest Expense.** Noninterest expense was \$14.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$12.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, primarily due to expense relating to the acquisition of Downers Grove National Bank and an increase in nonperforming asset and OREO expense. Compensation and benefits expense increased \$568,000, or 8.7%, to \$7.1 million, from \$6.6 million for the same period in 2010. This increase is due primarily to residual transitional staffing expenses relating to Downers Grove National Bank and additional staffing that is required for the trust department and the two additional branch offices that were acquired in the transaction. Office occupancy and equipment expense increased \$127,000, or 7.9%, to \$1.7 million, compared to \$1.6 million for the same period in 2010.

Net expense from nonperforming asset management was \$1.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$355,000 for the same period in 2010. Net expense from nonperforming asset management included \$532,000 for real estate taxes, \$312,000 in maintenance and repair expenses and \$313,000 in receiver expenses. These expenses were partially offset by \$329,000 rental collections.

We recorded a gain from sales of OREO in the amount of \$57,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to a \$107,000 loss for the same period in 2010. Net expense from operations of OREO was \$912,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$393,000 for the same period in 2010. Net expense from operations of OREO included \$253,000 for legal, insurance and property manager fee expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$26,000 for the same period in 2010. Real estate taxes for OREO was \$290,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$66,000 for the same period in 2010. Net expense from operations of OREO for the current quarter also included \$296,000 in write-downs on other real estate owned, compared to \$133,000 for the same period in 2010.

**Income Tax Expense (Benefit).** We recorded an income tax expense of \$145,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to an income tax benefit of \$161,000 recorded for the same period 2010. The effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was 12.4% compared to 35.9% in 2010.

#### Comparison of Operating Results for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

**Net Income (Loss).** We had net income of \$249,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$428,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2010, due in substantial part to transaction expenses that we recorded in connection with our multi-family loan purchase from Citibank and the acquisition of Downers Grove National Bank. Our earnings per share of common stock for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$0.01 per basic and fully diluted share, respectively, compared to \$0.02 per basic and fully diluted share, respectively, for the six-month period ending June 30, 2010.

**Net Interest Income.** Net interest income increased by \$4.5 million, or 17.1%, to \$30.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from \$26.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The increase reflected a \$752,000 increase in interest income, and a \$3.7 million decrease in interest expense. Our net interest rate spread increased by 60 basis points to 3.99% for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from 3.39% for the same period in 2010. Our net interest margin increased by 48 basis points to 4.11% for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from 3.63% for the same period in 2010.

Interest income increased \$752,000, or 2.2%, to \$34.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from \$33.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The increase in interest income was primarily attributable to the increase in average interest-earning assets and the impact of a lower average yield on interest-earning assets. The average yield on interest-earning assets declined five basis points to 4.64% for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to 4.69% for the same period in 2010. Total average interest-earning assets increased \$48.3 million, or 3.3%, to \$1.493 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from \$1.445 billion for the same period in 2010. The increase in average interest-earning assets was due in substantial part to a \$53.9 million, or 4.6%, increase in average loans receivable, and a net increase of \$23.4 million, or 25.3%, in the average balance of securities.

Interest income from loans, the most significant portion of interest income, increased \$1.1 million, or 3.5%, to \$32.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from \$31.5 million for the same period in 2010. The increase in interest income from loans resulted primarily from an increase of \$53.9 million, or 4.6%, in average loans receivable to \$1.228 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from \$1.174 billion for the same period in 2010, partially offset by a net decrease of six basis points in the average yield on loans to 5.35% for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from 5.41% for the same period in 2010.

Interest income from securities decreased by \$328,000, or 17.1%, to \$1.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from \$1.9 million for the same period in 2010. The decrease in interest income from securities was primarily due to a 142 basis point decrease in the average yield on securities to 2.77% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 from 4.19% for the same period in 2010. The decrease in the average yield of securities was partially offset by an increase of \$23.4 million, or 25.3%, in the average outstanding balance of securities to \$115.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from \$92.4 million for the same period in 2010.

Interest income on interest-bearing deposits decreased by \$17,000, or 8.4%, to \$185,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from \$202,000 for the same period in 2010. The decrease in interest income from interest-bearing deposits was primarily due to a decrease of \$29.5 million, or 18.1%, in the average outstanding balance of interest-bearing deposits to \$133.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from \$163.1 million for the same period in 2010, partially offset by a three basis point increase in the average yield on interest-bearing deposits to 0.28% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 from 0.25% for the same period in 2010.

The FHLBC paid a dividend of \$8,000 on its common stock in the six months ended June 30, 2011; no dividend was paid in the first six months of 2010.

Interest expense decreased \$3.7 million, or 48.6%, to \$3.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from \$7.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The decrease in interest expense was due to a decrease in the weighted average interest rates that we paid on deposit accounts and on borrowings, partially offset by an increase in the balance of our average interest-bearing liabilities. The cost of our average interest-bearing liabilities decreased by 65 basis points to 0.65% for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from 1.30% for the same period in 2010. Our average interest-bearing liabilities increased \$36.1 million, to \$1.217 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from \$1.181 billion for the same period in 2010.

Interest expense on deposits decreased \$3.3 million, or 46.9%, to \$3.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from \$7.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The decrease in interest expense on deposits reflected a 63 basis point decrease in the average rate paid on interest-bearing deposits to 0.63% for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from 1.26% for same period in 2010. The decrease in the average rate paid on interest-bearing deposits was partially offset by a \$66.9 million, or 5.9%, increase in average interest-bearing deposits to \$1.202 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from \$1.135 billion for the same period in 2010.

Interest expense on money market accounts decreased \$1.1 million, or 56.2%, to \$855,000 for the six months ended

June 30, 2011, from \$2.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The decrease in interest expense on money market accounts reflected a 67 basis point decrease in the interest rate paid on these deposits to 0.49% for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from 1.16% for the same period in 2010, partially offset by a \$9.8 million, or 2.9%, increase in the average balance of money market accounts to \$348.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from \$338.8 million for the same period in 2010.

Interest expense on interest-bearing NOW account deposits decreased \$609,000, or 66.9%, to \$301,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from \$910,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The decrease in interest expense on interest-bearing NOW accounts reflected a 44 basis point decrease in the interest rates paid on interest-bearing NOW account deposits to 0.19% for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from 0.63% for the same period in 2010, partially offset by an increase of \$24.1 million, or 8.2%, in the average balance of interest-bearing NOW account deposits to \$316.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from \$292.5 million for the same period in 2010.

Interest expense on certificates of deposit decreased \$1.5 million, or 37.7%, to \$2.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from \$4.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The decrease in interest expense on certificates of deposit was due to a 76 basis point decrease in the interest rates paid on certificates of deposit to 1.21% for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from 1.97% for the same period in 2010, partially offset by an increase of \$5.2 million, or 1.3%, in the average balance of certificates of deposit to \$410.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from \$405.1 million for the same period in 2010.

Interest expense on borrowings decreased \$386,000, or 71.1%, to \$157,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from \$543,000 for the same period in 2010. The decrease in interest expense on borrowings was due to a \$30.9 million, or 66.3%, decrease of our average borrowings to \$15.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from \$46.6 million for the same period in 2010, and a 33 basis point decrease in interest rates paid on borrowings to 2.02% for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from 2.35% for the same period in 2010.

## Average Balance Sheets

The following table sets forth average balance sheets, average yields and costs, and certain other information for the periods indicated. No tax-equivalent yield adjustments were made, as the effect of these adjustments would not be material. Average balances are daily average balances. Nonaccrual loans have been included in the computation of average balances, but have been reflected in the table as loans carrying a zero yield. The yields set forth below include, where applicable, the effect of deferred fees and expenses, discounts and premiums, and purchase accounting adjustments that are amortized or accreted to interest income or expense.

	For the six months ended June 30,					
	2011			2010		
	Average Outstanding Balance	Interest	Yield/Rate (1)	Average Outstanding Balance	Interest	Yield/Rate (1)
(Dollars in thousands)						
<b>Interest-earning Assets:</b>						
Loans	\$ 1,227,877	\$ 32,565	5.35%	\$ 1,174,025	\$ 31,476	5.41%
Securities	115,726	1,590	2.77	92,373	1,918	4.19
Stock in FHLB	16,138	8	0.10	15,598	-	-
Other	133,618	185	0.28	163,082	202	0.25
Total interest-earning assets	1,493,359	34,348	4.64	1,445,078	33,596	4.69
Noninterest-earning assets	117,116			121,696		
Total assets	<u>\$ 1,610,475</u>			<u>\$ 1,566,774</u>		
<b>Interest-bearing Liabilities:</b>						
Savings deposits	\$ 126,191	128	0.20	\$ 98,299	244	0.50
Money market accounts	348,601	855	0.49	338,834	1,953	1.16
Interest-bearing NOW accounts	316,545	301	0.19	292,481	910	0.63
Certificates of deposit	410,292	2,465	1.21	405,105	3,956	1.97
Total deposits	1,201,629	3,749	0.63	1,134,719	7,063	1.26
Borrowings	15,701	157	2.02	46,558	543	2.35
Total interest-bearing liabilities	1,217,330	3,906	0.65	1,181,277	7,606	1.30
Noninterest-bearing deposits	124,729			102,423		
Noninterest-bearing liabilities	18,918			18,964		
Total liabilities	1,360,977			1,302,664		
Equity	249,498			264,110		
Total liabilities and equity	<u>\$ 1,610,475</u>			<u>\$ 1,566,774</u>		
Net interest income		<u>\$ 30,442</u>			<u>\$ 25,990</u>	
Net interest rate spread (2)			3.99%			3.39%
Net interest-earning assets (3)	<u>\$ 276,029</u>			<u>\$ 263,801</u>		
Net interest margin (4)			4.11%			3.63%
Ratio of interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities		122.67%			122.33%	

(1) Annualized.

(2) Net interest rate spread represents the difference between the yield on average interest-earning assets and the cost of average interest-bearing liabilities.

(3) Net interest-earning assets represents total interest-earning assets less total interest-bearing liabilities.

(4) Net interest margin represents net interest income divided by average total interest-earning assets.



**Provision for Loan Losses.** Based on our evaluation of the above factors, we recorded a provision for loan losses of \$5.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to a provision for loan losses of \$3.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Of the \$5.6 million total provision for loan losses, the general valuation allowance increased by \$1.6 million and the remaining \$4.0 million represents net charge-off activity and specific valuation allowances.

The general valuation allowance increased \$1.6 million primarily due to a mild deterioration in national and local economic factors, an initial allowance for the Downers Grove National Bank performing loan portfolio and an increase in the historical loss ratio for non-owner-occupied one-to-four family loans. In general, all other historical loss ratios were stable.

Net charge-offs of \$4.8 million were offset by a decrease of \$789,000 of specific valuation allowances allocated to impaired loans. The \$789,000 decrease in specific valuation allowances allocated to impaired loans reflected an increase of \$1.6 million from updated collateral valuations on real estate collateral, net of \$2.4 million of charge offs related to properties transferred to OREO in 2011. Of the total charge-offs, \$1.3 million related to the final disposition of a single non-owner-occupied single family residential property and \$2.1 million related to a TDR commenced in the first quarter of 2011 involving multiple loans to certain borrowers and a partnership in which they are members that are secured by non-owner-occupied single-family residential rental properties. The remaining amounts involved a variety of smaller impaired loans for which final resolution was reached in the first half of 2011.

---

## Nonperforming Assets Summary

The following table below sets forth the amounts and categories of our nonperforming loans and nonperforming assets, excluding purchased impaired loans, at the dates indicated.

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	Change
	(Dollars in thousands)		
<b>Nonaccrual loans</b>			
One-to-four family residential real estate loans	\$ 7,485	\$ 5,748	\$ 1,737
One-to-four family residential real estate loans – non owner occupied	5,178	4,311	867
Multi-family mortgage loans	7,955	8,823	(868)
Wholesale commercial lending	4,405	4,405	-
Nonresidential real estate loans	12,393	12,428	(35)
Construction loans	121	3,274	(3,153)
Land loans	383	2,865	(2,482)
Commercial loans – secured	3,632	3,511	121
Commercial loans – unsecured	158	158	-
Commercial loans – other	96	97	(1)
Non-rated commercial leases	72	72	-
Consumer loans	–	3	(3)
<b>Nonaccrual loans</b>	41,878	45,695	(3,817)
<b>Other real estate owned and in process</b>			
One-to-four family residential real estate	5,659	3,015	2,644
Multi-family mortgage	3,987	2,486	1,501
Nonresidential real estate loans	6,896	7,376	(480)
Land	3,218	1,745	1,473
<b>Other real estate owned and in process – excluding purchased OREO</b>	19,760	14,622	5,138
Purchased other real estate owned	7,272	-	7,272
<b>Other real estate owned and in process</b>	27,032	14,622	12,410
<b>Nonperforming assets</b>	<u>\$ 68,910</u>	<u>\$ 60,317</u>	<u>\$ 8,593</u>

## Other Real Estate Owned and In Process

Real estate that is acquired through foreclosure or a deed in lieu of foreclosure is classified as OREO or OREO in process until it is sold. When real estate is acquired through foreclosure or by deed in lieu of foreclosure, it is recorded at its fair value, less the estimated costs of disposal as discussed above. If the fair value of the property is less than the loan balance, the difference is charged against the allowance for loan losses.

	Balance at December 31, 2010	Additions	Acquired other real estate owned, net	Write-downs	Sale	Balance at June 30, 2011
	(Dollars in thousands)					
One-to-four family residential	\$ 3,015	\$ 3,244	\$ 327	\$ (119)	\$ (481)	\$ 5,986
Multi-family mortgage	2,486	2,388	–	(105)	(782)	3,987
Nonresidential real estate	7,376	302	3,006	(254)	(528)	9,902
Land	1,745	1,473	3,939	–	–	7,157
Total other real estate owned and in process	<u>\$ 14,622</u>	<u>\$ 7,407</u>	<u>\$ 7,272</u>	<u>\$ (478)</u>	<u>\$ (1,791)</u>	<u>\$ 27,032</u>

Of the total increase in other real estate owned and in process of \$12.4 million, \$7.3 million is attributable to our acquisition of Downers Grove National Bank.

## Troubled Debt Restructurings

The following table sets forth troubled debt restructurings by loan category:

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	Change
	(dollars in thousands)		
One-to-four family residential real estate – non-owner occupied	\$ 3,449	\$ –	\$ 3,449
Multi-family mortgage	2,791	1,675	1,116
Nonresidential real estate	<u>2,784</u>	<u>1,699</u>	<u>1,085</u>
Troubled debt restructured loans – accrual loans	9,024	3,374	5,650
One-to-four family residential real estate – non-owner occupied	793	–	\$ 793
Multi-family mortgage	10	13	(3)
Nonresidential real estate	2,026	3,137	(1,111)
Commercial loans – secured	<u>73</u>	<u>–</u>	<u>73</u>
Troubled debt restructured loans – nonaccrual loans	<u>2,902</u>	<u>3,150</u>	<u>(248)</u>
Total troubled debt restructured loans	<u>\$ 11,926</u>	<u>\$ 6,524</u>	<u>\$ 5,402</u>

TDR loans increased \$5.4 million during the first half of 2011. Total loans classified as TDRs were 0.91% of total loans at June 30, 2011, and 0.61% of the total loans at December 31, 2010.

Of the \$11.9 million in loans that were classified as TDRs at June 30, 2011, 76% were on accrual status and continued to perform according to the terms of the applicable loan agreements. Of the \$6.5 million in loans that were classified as TDRs at December 31, 2010, \$3.4 million, or 52%, remained on accrual status as of that date.

The following table summarizes noninterest income for six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

	<b>Six months ended June 30,</b>		<b>Change</b>
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	
(Dollars in thousands)			
<b>Noninterest income:</b>			
Deposit service charges and fees	\$ 1,303	\$ 1,565	\$ (262)
Other fee income	795	934	(139)
Insurance commissions and annuities income	324	314	10
Gain on sale of loans, net	58	115	(57)
Gain on sale of securities	-	31	(31)
Loss on disposition of premises and equipment, net	(20)	(17)	(3)
Loan servicing fees	269	324	(55)
Amortization and impairment of servicing assets	(105)	(321)	216
Earnings on bank owned life insurance	320	171	149
Trust income	292	24	268
Other	214	127	87
<b>Total noninterest income</b>	<b>\$ 3,450</b>	<b>\$ 3,267</b>	<b>\$ 183</b>

**Noninterest Income.** Noninterest income increased \$183,000, or 5.6%, to \$3.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from \$3.3 million for the same period in 2010. Deposit service charges and fees decreased \$262,000, or 16.7%, to \$1.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from \$1.6 million for the same period in 2010. Other fee income decreased \$139,000, or 14.9%, to \$795,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$934,000 for the same period in 2010. Gains on sales of loans were \$57,000, a decrease of \$58,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$115,000 for the same period in 2010, due to a decrease in the volume of loan sales. Loan servicing income decreased \$55,000, or 17.0%, to \$269,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from \$324,000 for the same period in 2010. In the first half of 2010, we recorded a recovery of our mortgage servicing rights portfolio of \$57,000 due to the combined effects of market interest rates and their concomitant effect on prepayment speeds, compared to no reserve for the same period in 2011. Earnings on bank-owned life insurance were \$320,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$171,000 for the same period in 2010. Trust department income increased \$268,000 to \$292,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$24,000 for the same period in 2010, due to the acquisition of Downers Grove National Bank on March 18, 2011. Other income increased \$87,000 to \$214,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from \$127,000 for the same period in 2010.

The following table summarizes noninterest expense for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

	<b>Six months ended June 30,</b>		<b>Change</b>
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	
(Dollars in thousands)			
<b>Noninterest Expense:</b>			
Compensation and benefits	\$ 13,720	\$ 13,763	\$ (43)
Office occupancy and equipment	3,604	3,410	194
Advertising and public relations	497	519	(22)
Information technology	2,039	1,882	157
Supplies, telephone and postage	814	767	47
Amortization of intangibles	852	804	48
Nonperforming asset management	1,734	622	1,112
Gain on sale of other real estate owned	(109)	107	(216)
Operations of other real estate owned	1,417	527	890
FDIC insurance premiums	753	1,087	(334)
Acquisition expenses	1,771	-	1,771
Other	1,786	1,560	226
<b>Total noninterest expense</b>	<b>\$ 28,878</b>	<b>\$ 25,048</b>	<b>\$ 3,830</b>

**Noninterest Expense.** Noninterest expense was \$28.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$25.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, an increase of \$3.8 million, or 15.3% primarily due to

expense relating to the acquisition of Downers Grove National Bank and an increase in nonperforming asset and OREO expense. Compensation and benefits expense decreased \$43,000, to \$13.7 million, from \$13.8 million for the same period in 2010. This decrease reflected a \$534,000 decrease in stock-based compensation expense, as the majority of stock awards fully vested in December 2010, offset by residual transitional staffing expenses relating to Downers Grove National Bank and additional staffing that is required for the trust department and the two additional branch offices that were acquired in the transaction. Office occupancy and equipment expense increased \$194,000, or 5.7%, to \$3.6 million, compared to \$3.4 million for the same period in 2010.

Net expense from nonperforming asset management was \$1.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$622,000 for the same period in 2010. Net expense from nonperforming asset management included \$833,000 for real estate taxes for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to no expenses for real estate taxes for the same period in 2010.

We recorded a gain from sales of OREO of \$109,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to a \$107,000 loss for the same period in 2010. Net expense from operations of OREO was \$1.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$527,000 for the same period in 2010. Net expense from operations of OREO included \$513,000 for legal, insurance and receiver or property manager fee expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$49,000 for the same period in 2010. Real estate taxes for other real estate owned was \$374,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$130,000 for the same period 2010. Net expense from operations of other real estate owned for the current quarter also included \$474,000 in write-downs or losses on other real estate owned, compared to \$188,000 for the same period in 2010.

Acquisition expense recorded included \$1.8 million in expenses relating to the acquisition of Downers Grove National Bank, including \$432,000 in data processing contracts and operational expenses, and \$687,000 that was recorded for contract and severance payments. Also recorded was \$398,000 of expenses relating to the multi-family loan purchase from Citibank.

**Income Tax Expense (Benefit).** We recorded an income tax benefit of \$834,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to an income tax expense of \$265,000 for the same period in 2010. The effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was 142.6% compared to 38.2% in 2010 due to the impact of permanent book versus tax differences in relation to pre-tax income. As a result of the Illinois corporate income tax rate increase, we recorded an additional tax benefit of \$227,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011 related to the write-up of state deferred tax assets.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

**Liquidity.** The overall objective of our liquidity management is to ensure the availability of sufficient cash funds to meet all financial commitments and to take advantage of lending and investment opportunities. We manage liquidity in order to meet deposit withdrawals on demand or at contractual maturity, to repay borrowings as they mature, and to fund new loans and investments as opportunities arise.

Our primary sources of funds are deposits, principal and interest payments on loans and securities, and, to a lesser extent, wholesale borrowings, the proceeds from maturing securities and short-term investments, and the proceeds from the sales of loans and securities. The scheduled amortization of loans and securities, as well as proceeds from borrowings, are predictable sources of funds. Other funding sources, however, such as deposit inflows, mortgage prepayments and mortgage loan sales are greatly influenced by market interest rates, economic conditions and competition. The Bank is a member of the FHLBC, which provides an additional source of short-term and long-term funding. Outstanding borrowings from the FHLBC were \$6.0 million at June 30, 2011, at a weighted average interest rate of 3.29%. A total of \$3.0 million of these borrowings will mature in less than one year. Outstanding FHLBC borrowings were \$16.0 million at December 31, 2010.

The liquidity needs of the Company on an unconsolidated basis consist primarily of operating expenses, dividends to stockholders and stock repurchases. The primary source of liquidity for the Company currently is \$12.8 million in cash and cash equivalents as of June 30, 2011 and cash dividends from our subsidiary, the Bank.

As of June 30, 2011, we were not aware of any known trends, events or uncertainties that have or are reasonably likely to have a material impact on our liquidity. As of June 30, 2011, we had no other material commitments for

capital expenditures.

**Capital Resources.** Total stockholders' equity was \$250.4 million at June 30, 2011, compared to \$253.3 million at December 31, 2010. The decrease in total stockholders' equity was primarily due to the combined impact of our declaration and payment of cash dividends totaling \$3.0 million and a \$649,000 decrease in accumulated other comprehensive income during that period, partially offset by net income of \$249,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The unallocated shares of common stock that our ESOP owns were reflected as a \$13.7 million reduction to stockholders' equity at June 30, 2011, compared to a \$14.2 million reduction to stockholders' equity at December 31, 2010.

As of June 30, 2011, the Company had repurchased 4,239,134 shares of its common stock out of the 5,047,423 shares that have been authorized for repurchase by the Company's Board of Directors. As previously disclosed, the authorization permits shares to be repurchased in open market or negotiated transactions, and pursuant to any trading plan that may be adopted in accordance with Rule 10b5-1 of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The authorization may be utilized at management's discretion, subject to the limitations set forth in Rule 10b-18 of the Securities and Exchange Commission and other applicable legal requirements, and to price and other internal limitations established by the Board of Directors. The authorization may be suspended, terminated or modified at any time prior to November 15, 2011 for any reason, including market conditions, the cost of repurchasing shares, the availability of alternative investment opportunities, liquidity, and other factors deemed relevant. These factors will also affect the timing and amount of share repurchases. For additional information, see "Part II. Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds. (c) Repurchases of Our Equity Securities."

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the actual regulatory capital ratios and minimum required regulatory ratios for the Bank were:

	<b>Actual Ratio</b>	<b>Minimum Required for Capital Adequacy Purposes</b>	<b>Minimum Required to Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions</b>
<b>June 30, 2011</b>			
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)	14.99%	8.00%	10.00%
Tier 1 (core) capital (to risk-weighted assets)	13.90	4.00	6.00
Tier 1 (core) capital (to adjusted total assets)	11.28	4.00	5.00
<b>December 31, 2010</b>			
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)	18.38%	8.00%	10.00%
Tier 1 (core) capital (to risk-weighted assets)	17.20	4.00	6.00
Tier 1 (core) capital (to adjusted total assets)	12.48	4.00	5.00

As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Office of Thrift Supervision ("OTS") categorized the Bank as well-capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. There are no conditions or events since those notifications that management believes have changed the institution's well-capitalized status.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act ("Dodd-Frank Act"), which was signed by the President on July 21, 2010, provides for the transfer of the authority for regulating and supervising federal savings banks from the OTS to the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC"), and the authority for regulating and supervising savings and loan holding companies and their non-depository subsidiaries from the OTS to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve Board ("FRB"). The transfer occurred on July 21, 2011. The Dodd-Frank Act also created a new federal agency, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau ("CFPB"), as an independent bureau of the Federal Reserve Board, to conduct rule-making, supervision, and enforcement of federal consumer financial protection and fair lending laws and regulations. The CFPB has examination and primary enforcement authority in connection with these laws and regulations for depository institutions with total assets of more than \$10 billion. Depository institutions with \$10 billion or less in total assets are examined for compliance with these laws and regulations by their primary federal regulators, and are subject to their enforcement authority. Because of these

changes, the OCC became the primary federal regulator of the Bank and the FRB became the primary federal regulator of the Company on the transfer date. The Bank is not subject to the examination or the primary enforcement authority of the CFPB.

### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

**Qualitative Analysis.** We believe that our most significant form of market risk is interest rate risk. Interest rate risk results from timing differences in the maturity or repricing of our assets, liabilities and off balance sheet contracts (*i.e.*, forward loan commitments), the effect of loan prepayments and deposit withdrawals, the difference in the behavior of lending and funding rates arising from the use of different indices and “yield curve risk” arising from changing rate relationships across the spectrum of maturities for constant or variable credit risk investments. In addition to directly affecting net interest income, changes in market interest rates can also affect the amount of new loan originations, the ability of borrowers to repay variable rate loans, the volume of loan prepayments and refinancings, the carrying value of investment securities classified as available-for-sale and the flow and mix of deposits.

The general objective of our interest rate risk management is to determine the appropriate level of risk given our business strategy and then manage that risk in a manner that is consistent with our policy to reduce, to the extent possible, the exposure of our net interest income to changes in market interest rates. Our Asset/Liability Management Committee (“ALCO”), which consists of certain members of senior management, evaluates the interest rate risk inherent in certain assets and liabilities, our operating environment and capital and liquidity requirements, and modifies our lending, investing and deposit gathering strategies accordingly. The Board of Directors’ Asset/Liability Management Committee then reviews the ALCO’s activities and strategies, the effect of those strategies on our net interest margin, and the effect that changes in market interest rates would have on the economic value of our loan and securities portfolios as well as the intrinsic value of our deposits and borrowings, and reports to the full Board of Directors.

We actively evaluate interest rate risk in connection with our lending, investing and deposit activities. In an effort to better manage interest-rate risk, we have de-emphasized the origination of residential mortgage loans for our loan portfolio, and have increased our emphasis on the origination of nonresidential real estate loans, multi-family mortgage loans, commercial loans and commercial leases. In addition, depending on market interest rates and our capital and liquidity position, we generally sell all or a portion of our longer-term, fixed-rate residential loans, usually on a servicing-retained basis. Further, we primarily invest in shorter-duration securities, which generally have lower yields compared to longer-term investments. Shortening the average maturity of our interest-earning assets by increasing our investments in shorter-term loans and securities, as well as loans with variable rates of interest, helps to better match the maturities and interest rates of our assets and liabilities, thereby reducing the exposure of our net interest income to changes in market interest rates. Finally, we have classified all of our investment portfolio as available-for-sale so as to provide flexibility in liquidity management.

We utilize a combination of analyses to monitor the Bank’s exposure to changes in interest rates. The economic value of equity analysis is a model that estimates the change in net portfolio value (“NPV”) over a range of interest rate scenarios. NPV is the discounted present value of expected cash flows from assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet contracts. In calculating changes in NPV, we assume estimated loan prepayment rates, reinvestment rates and deposit decay rates that seem most likely based on historical experience during prior interest rate changes.

Our net interest income analysis utilizes the data derived from the dynamic GAP analysis, described below, and applies several additional elements, including actual interest rate indices and margins, contractual limitations such as interest rate floors and caps and the US Treasury yield curve as of the balance sheet date. In addition, we apply consistent parallel yield curve shifts (in both directions) to determine possible changes in net interest income if the theoretical yield curve shifts occurred instantaneously. Net interest income analysis also adjusts the dynamic GAP repricing analysis based on changes in prepayment rates resulting from the parallel yield curve shifts.

Our dynamic GAP analysis determines the relative balance between the repricing of assets and liabilities over multiple periods of time (ranging from overnight to five years). Dynamic GAP analysis includes expected cash flows from loans and mortgage-backed securities, applying prepayment rates based on the differential between the current interest rate and the market interest rate for each loan and security type. This analysis identifies mismatches

in the timing of asset and liability repricing but does not necessarily provide an accurate indicator of interest rate risk because it omits the factors incorporated into the net interest income analysis.

**Quantitative Analysis.** The following table sets forth, as of June 30, 2011, the estimated changes in the Bank's NPV and net interest income that would result from the designated instantaneous parallel shift in the US Treasury yield curve. Computations of prospective effects of hypothetical interest rate changes are based on numerous assumptions including relative levels of market interest rates, loan prepayments and deposit decay, and should not be relied upon as indicative of actual results.

<b>Change in Interest Rates (basis points)</b>	<b>Estimated Increase in NPV</b>		<b>Decrease in Estimated Net Interest Income</b>	
	<b>Amount</b>	<b>Percent</b>	<b>Amount</b>	<b>Percent</b>
	(dollars in thousands)		(dollars in thousands)	
+400	\$ 12,229	4.89%	\$ (5,224)	(8.25)%
+300	11,046	4.42	(3,681)	(5.82)
+200	8,814	3.53	(2,415)	(3.82)
+100	5,579	2.23	(1,136)	(1.80)
0	–	–	–	–

The Company has opted not to include an estimate for a decrease in rates at June 30, 2011 as the results are not relevant given the current targeted fed funds rate of the Federal Open Market Committee. The table set forth above indicates that at June 30, 2011, in the event of an immediate 200 basis point increase in interest rates, the Bank would be expected to experience a 3.53% increase in NPV and a \$2.4 million decrease in net interest income. This data does not reflect any actions that we may undertake in response to changes in interest rates, such as changes in rates paid on certain deposit accounts based on local competitive factors, which could reduce the actual impact on NPV and net interest income, if any.

Certain shortcomings are inherent in the methodology used in the above interest rate risk measurements. Modeling changes in NPV and net interest income requires that we make certain assumptions that may or may not reflect the manner in which actual yields and costs respond to changes in market interest rates. The NPV and net interest income table presented above assumes that the composition of our interest-rate sensitive assets and liabilities existing at the beginning of a period remains constant over the period being measured and, accordingly, the data does not reflect any actions that we may undertake in response to changes in interest rates, such as changes in rates paid on certain deposit accounts based on local competitive factors. The table also assumes that a particular change in interest rates is reflected uniformly across the yield curve regardless of the duration to maturity or the repricing characteristics of specific assets and liabilities. Accordingly, although the NPV and net interest income table provides an indication of our sensitivity to interest rate changes at a particular point in time, such measurements are not intended to and do not provide a precise forecast of the effect of changes in market interest rates on our net interest income and will differ from actual results.



---

**ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

An evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President and the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of June 30, 2011. Based on that evaluation, the Company's management, including the Chairman, President, and Chief Executive Officer and the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2011, there have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

---

**PART II**

**ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to various legal actions that are considered ordinary routine litigation incidental to the business of the Company, and no claim for money damages exceeds ten percent of the Company's consolidated assets. In the opinion of management, based on currently available information, the resolution of these legal actions is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations.

**ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

Not applicable.

**ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

(a) **Unregistered Sale of Equity Securities.** Not applicable.

(b) **Use of Proceeds.** Not applicable

(c) **Repurchases of Equity Securities.**

The Company's Board of Directors has authorized the repurchase of up to 5,047,423 shares of our common stock. In accordance with this authorization, the Company had repurchased 4,239,134 shares of its common stock as of June 30, 2011. The Company did not conduct any repurchases during the second quarter of 2011. The current share repurchase authorization will expire on November 15, 2011, unless extended.

**ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES**

None

**ITEM 4. REMOVED AND RESERVED**

**ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

None

**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

The exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K are included with this Form 10-Q and are listed on the "Index to Exhibits" immediately following the Signatures.

---

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**BANKFINANCIAL CORPORATION**  
(Registrant)

Date: August 4, 2011

/s/ E. MORGAN GASIOR  
F. Morgan Gasior  
Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President

/s/ PAUL A. CLOUTIER  
Paul A. Cloutier  
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Description</u>
31.1	Certification of F. Morgan Gasior, Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President, Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a).
31.2	Certification of Paul A. Cloutier, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a).
32.1	Certification of F. Morgan Gasior, Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President, Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Paul A. Cloutier, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Interactive data as required by regulation S-K will be filed by amendment to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

## CERTIFICATION

I, F. Morgan Gasior, certify that:

- 1) I have reviewed this report on Form 10-Q of BankFinancial Corporation;
- 2) Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3) Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4) The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiary, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5) The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 4, 2011

/s/ F. MORGAN GASIOR

\_\_\_\_\_  
 F. Morgan Gasior  
 Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President

## CERTIFICATION

I, Paul A. Cloutier, certify that:

- 1) I have reviewed this report on Form 10-Q of BankFinancial Corporation;
- 2) Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3) Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4) The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiary, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5) The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 4, 2011

/s/ PAUL A. CLOUTIER

Paul A. Cloutier

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of BankFinancial Corporation (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2011 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, F. Morgan Gasior, Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ F. MORGAN GASIOR  
\_\_\_\_\_  
F. Morgan Gasior  
Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President

Dated: August 4, 2011

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to BankFinancial Corporation and will be retained by BankFinancial Corporation and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of BankFinancial Corporation (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2011 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Paul A. Cloutier, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ PAUL A. CLOUTIER \_\_\_\_\_  
Paul A. Cloutier  
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Dated: August 04, 2011

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to BankFinancial Corporation and will be retained by BankFinancial Corporation and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.